CLIMATE CHANGE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY IN EDUCATION

NAWALA

Volume 2, Number 2, 2023

# Education for Sustainable Development and the Urgency of Climate Change Education

**Muhammad Rizal Putra Pradana**[1]

[1] Universitas Persatuan Guru Republik Indonesia Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

## Abstract

**Article history:**
Received: July 19, 2023
Revised: August 4, 2023
Accepted: September 25, 2023
Published: December 30, 2023

**Keywords:**
Climate Change Education,
Ecological Competence,
Sustainable Development,
Sustainability,
The Younger Generation.

**Identifier:**
Nawala
Page: 147-160
https://nawala.io/index.php/ccese

The issue of climate change and sustainable development is a global challenge that demands the strategic role of education in shaping a knowledgeable, critical, and responsible generation. This article discusses the integration of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) and Climate Change Education (CCE) through a qualitative approach based on literature study. The analysis was conducted by reviewing the current literature covering theories, practices, and educational policies related to sustainability and climate change. The results of the study show that ESD contributes to the formation of sustainability competencies, systemic thinking skills, and strengthening the capacity of teachers as agents of transformation. Meanwhile, CCE emphasizes the importance of scientific and media literacy to counter climate disinformation, while encouraging mitigation and adaptation actions at the local and global levels. The integration of these two approaches not only strengthens ecological awareness, but also supports the achievement of the sustainable development goals (SDGs), especially in the aspects of education (SDG 4) and climate action (SDG 13). Thus, sustainability-based education and climate change should not be seen as an add-on, but rather as the core of the 21st century education system.

## 1. Introduction

Departing from the urgency of achieving the 2030 Agenda, education is seen as the main lever to integrate the values, knowledge, and skills needed to realize sustainable development across sectors. In this context, Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) emphasizes not only environmental literacy, but also 21st-century competencies of critical thinking, collaboration, and ethical decision-making that link social, economic, and ecological issues in the classroom and policy. Recent longitudinal research shows that well-designed ESD has a significant effect on learners knowledge, values, and conservation behavioral intentions, reinforcing the claim that education is an effective instrument for transformational change. However, the implementation gap is still prominent.

Cross-context studies confirm that there are obstacles at the teacher and school levels, ranging from teachers self-efficacy towards ESD, limited professional development, to a lack of structural support that causes ESD to often present as a "complement" to the curriculum rather than a framework for learning. Longitudinal evidence shows that increased teacher self-efficacy correlates with more consistent and quality ESD practices, marking the importance of investing in educator training and authentic assessments (Erlina, 2021). On the other hand, Climate Change Education (CCE) needs to move beyond pure science towards an interdisciplinary and climate-equitable approach. Curricular studies highlight that the topic of climate change is still sparse and superficial in many curricula, so graduates are ill-equipped to understand the interconnectedness of the causes of response impacts, including the social and ethical dimensions of action.

The recommendations that emerged were the integration of CCE across subjects, project-based and community-based learning, and an emphasis on mitigation and adaptation actions that are contextual to local realities (Kolenatý et al., 2022). This complexity increasingly demands strengthening media and information literacy. In a digital ecosystem full of climate hoaxes, experiment-based media literacy interventions have been proven to increase users accuracy in distinguishing between true and misleading information. These findings are directly relevant for climate education curricula that combine media literacy with climate science can reduce students vulnerability to disinformation, foster critical disposition, and improve the quality of public discourse on climate. Thus, media literacy is not an accessory, but rather a core component of an effective CCE.

Systemically, educational institutions require comprehensive curriculum governance: standardization, assessment, and professional development; school-community partnerships; and space for transformative pedagogy (Cummings & Olson, 2020). A recent review maps the barriers to learning to sustainability lack of policy coherence, tight instructional time, and unsupportive school cultures and offers directions for improvement through ESD (systems of thinking, anticipation, cooperation, values-reflection) competencies. By prioritizing these competencies, schools can shift the focus from "content transfer" to sustainable "capacity building for action."

Combining all of the above lessons, the introduction to this paper confirms three arguments. First, evidence-based ESD can improve learning outcomes and conservation behaviors; It should therefore be a curricular framework, not an

occasional thematic unit. Second, CCE must be cross-disciplinary and action-oriented so that students are able to assess risks, make decisions, and participate in local-global solutions. Third, media literacy should be treated as a "cognitive vaccine" against climate disinformation that undermines public trust and policy effectiveness. With the right policy support and professional development, education can accelerate the achievement of the SDGs through meaningful learning that links the classroom with life to prepare a generation that is not only issues-savvy, but capable of acting for a just and resilient future.

## 2. Literature Review

A recent study on Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) confirms that education that is designed systemically can form action competence with a combination of knowledge, values, and efficacy to act rather than simply increase conceptual literacy. Longitudinal studies in high school students show that consistent exposure to ESD increases the tendency to take conservation action and strengthens a reflective disposition to social–environmental issues (Olsson et al., 2022). These findings fill an evidence gap that was previously dominated by cross-sectional designs, while also showing that the duration and quality of interventions have an effect on sustained behavioral change.

At the implementation level, the role of teachers is the main lever as well as a source of challenges. Longitudinal evidence of multi-year professional development programs showed a significant increase in ESD teachers self-efficacy which was then associated with more consistent pedagogical practices such as real-world problem-

based learning, authentic assessment, and integration of socio-economic dimensions in environmental topics. However, the same study also highlighted structural constraints: limited instructional time, uneven institutional support, and an exam-oriented evaluation culture that often squeezes the space for curricular innovation. The policy implications that were drawn were the need for a sustainable professional development design, cross-school networking, and accompanied by changes to the assessment system so that sustainability competencies are not marginalized as an "additional burden" (Kurniawan, 2021). At the competency framework level, the latest conceptual synthesis expands the framework of five key competencies (system, anticipation, normative, strategic, interpersonal) to eight by including intrapersonal competencies, implementation, and integration.

This expansion is relevant for two reasons. First, he emphasized that sustainability education requires not only the ability to analyze complexity, but also psychological resilience (intrapersonal) and the capacity to realize solutions (implementation) in a real context. Second, the integration competency places sustainability as a cross-disciplinary lens, thereby encouraging the development of a curriculum that connects climate science, social justice, and political economy in cross-subject projects. For Climate Change Education (CCE), this framework provides a foundation for designing activities that balance scientific modelling with community-based adaptation-mitigation actions, as well as accommodate media literacy to respond to climate disinformation. Thus, the literature is moving towards a consensus that improved learning outcomes and sustainability actions require a combination of: (a) long-term interventions in the classroom, (b) policy-supported

teacher capacity building, and (c) competency-based curriculum that is integrated across domains.

## 3. Methods

This study uses a qualitative approach with a focus on understanding the phenomenon of the urgency of climate change in education through literature exploration and conceptual analysis. The qualitative approach was chosen because it was able to provide a deeper understanding of the relationship between the global issue of climate change and the need for its integration in the education system. In the context of this research, qualitative methods are considered relevant to explore the meanings, interpretations, and perspectives that emerge from various sources of knowledge so as to decipher the relationship between education, sustainable development, and climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts. Research information and data are obtained through library research by utilizing a variety of credible literature such as books, journal articles, research reports, international policy documents, and other academic records relevant to the theme of sustainability education and climate change education.

Literature studies were chosen as the main strategy because the issues studied are conceptual, normative, and multidisciplinary so that they require a strong theoretical foundation to be analyzed. In addition, this method allows researchers to compare various perspectives, both from the global, national, and local levels, related to the implementation of sustainable development-based education and climate change education. In the data collection process, the researcher searched the

literature published in the most recent period (last 5 years), to ensure that the analysis carried out was relevant to the development of contemporary issues and policies. The selected sources were analyzed thematically by emphasizing three main aspects, namely the basic concept and theoretical framework of education for sustainable development, the urgency of climate change education in equipping the young generation to face global crises, and the challenges and opportunities for integrating climate change issues into the educational curriculum.

Thematic analysis is carried out by identifying the main ideas of each literature, comparing similarities and differences, and synthesizing them into a complete narrative to answer the research questions. The credibility of the source is an important concern in this study. Therefore, the selection of references is carried out selectively by considering scientific factors, the reputation of the publisher or journal, and the up-to-date of the data. The literature used includes not only formal academic sources, but also reports from international institutions such as UNESCO, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) that are directly related to education policy and climate change. Thus, the results of the analysis are expected to provide a comprehensive picture of the dynamics of sustainable development-based education and climate change education at the global and national levels.

Through this qualitative method based on literature study, the research seeks to build a systematic argument about the importance of education as a strategic instrument in facing the challenges of climate change. The results of the study are expected to be able to fill the literature gap, strengthen understanding of the concept

of sustainability education, and provide a practical basis for the formulation of education policies that are responsive to environmental and climate issues. In other words, this research method not only explains the phenomenon, but also provides an analytical framework that can be used to direct educational practices towards the achievement of future sustainable development goals.

## 4. Results and Discussion

### 4.1. The Role of Education for Sustainable Development in Facing the Global Crisis

Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) has become a very important approach in dealing with global challenges, including environmental, social, and economic crises. ESD is designed not only to provide knowledge on sustainability issues, but also to form skills, values, and attitudes that enable individuals to make responsible decisions and contribute to a more sustainable future. This concept is in line with UNESCOs vision that education should function as a transformative force in creating a just, peaceful, and sustainable world (Carney, 2022). One of the main roles of ESD is to develop sustainability competencies in students. These competencies include critical thinking skills, self-reflection, collaboration, and the ability to see a problem systemically.

Research shows that the integration of sustainability competencies in the curriculum is able to increase students awareness of the impact of human activities on the environment, as well as foster motivation to seek innovative solutions (Brundiers et al., 2021). For example, in the context of higher education, students

who gain exposure to ESD are more likely to develop the ability to connect scientific knowledge to real social challenges. In addition, teachers play an important role in implementing ESD. The quality of teaching, pedagogical strategies, and teachers ability to facilitate contextual learning are the determining factors for the effectiveness of ESD. Longitudinal studies have found that teacher training in the context of ESD can improve their self-efficacy and pedagogical practices, which has an impact on improving the quality of learning in the classroom (Boeve-de Pauw et al., 2022). This shows that the success of ESD does not only depend on the curriculum, but also on strengthening the capacity of teachers as agents of change.

Furthermore, the implementation of ESD also requires policy support from the government and educational institutions. Effective implementation of ESD programs must involve a cross-disciplinary approach that connects science, social, cultural, and economic. For example, the integration of community-based projects into the curriculum can provide students with real-world experience in applying sustainability knowledge. Recent research emphasizes that community involvement in ESD learning can strengthen students sense of ownership of sustainability solutions in their environment (Cebrián et al., 2020). Other challenges faced are institutional resistance and limited resources. Many schools and universities face barriers to integrating ESD due to limited teaching materials, teacher skills, and lack of consistent policy support.

However, the development of digital technology offers new opportunities to expand access to sustainability education resources. For example, online-based learning allows teachers and students to access global ESD modules, enriching their

perspectives on sustainability issues. Thus, the role of ESD in dealing with the global crisis is not only cognitive but also affective and practical. Sustainability-based education must be able to equip students with relevant knowledge, skills, and values so that they are able to act as agents of change in creating a more just and sustainable future. The integration of ESD in the education system is a strategic step to answer sustainability challenges while strengthening the resilience of future generations.

### 4.2. The Urgency of Climate Change Education as a Response to Ecological Challenges

Climate change has been recognized as one of the most serious threats to the sustainability of life on earth. The impacts include rising global temperatures, changes in weather patterns, increasing frequency of natural disasters, and disruptions to ecosystems and human health. In this context, Climate Change Education (CCE) is an important instrument to equip the community with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed in mitigation and adaptation efforts. CCE not only serves as a means of information transfer, but also as an empowerment process that encourages real action to face the climate crisis (Monroe et al., 2019). CCE emphasizes scientific literacy and critical understanding of climate issues. Students are encouraged to understand the causes of climate change, its impacts, and various solutions that can be done at the individual and collective levels. Research shows that students who have strong climate literacy are more likely to be involved in environmental actions, such as energy conservation, waste management, and climate-related public policy advocacy (Hung, 2022). This suggests that CCE can drive real behavioural changes that contribute to sustainability.

In addition, the integration of media literacy in CCE is very important given the rampant disinformation related to climate change. A lot of misleading information is circulating on social media and digital platforms, which can weaken peoples understanding of the urgency of climate issues. Therefore, education needs to train students to be critical of information sources, be able to distinguish scientific facts from opinions or hoaxes, and encourage them to become agents of disseminating correct information (Carney, 2022). With strong media literacy, learners are not only protected from misinformation, but can also contribute to countering narratives that undermine climate action.

Climate change education also encourages active engagement in real action, both at the school, community, and policy levels. Action-based programs, such as renewable energy management, greening, and environmental advocacy, can increase student engagement in the mitigation process. Furthermore, CCE contributes to community capacity building in dealing with the impacts of climate change, including disaster preparedness. Recent studies confirm that the integration of an action-based approach in CCE increases the sense of social responsibility and ecological awareness of the younger generation (Hung, 2022).

However, the challenges of implementing CCE are considerable, including curriculum limitations, lack of teacher training, and lack of support from stakeholders. To address this, a consistent and integrative policy is needed, which not only places CCE as an add-on, but as a core part of the educational curriculum. Cross-sectoral cooperation between schools, governments, and communities is also critical to strengthening the effectiveness of climate change education. Thus, the

urgency of CCE in the context of the current ecological crisis cannot be underestimated. This education plays a role in shaping critical awareness, scientific literacy, and the adaptability of the younger generation to the increasingly complex climate reality. If systematically integrated, CCE can be an important foundation for creating a resilient, innovative, and committed society to sustainability.

## 5. Conclusion

This research emphasizes that education has a strategic role in realizing sustainable development while facing the challenges of global climate change. Through Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), education not only teaches conceptual knowledge, but also fosters systemic thinking skills, value reflection, and real action capacity that are oriented towards sustainability. The success of ESD implementation is highly dependent on cross-disciplinary integration in the curriculum, policy support, and strengthening the capacity of teachers as the main agents of educational transformation. Thus, sustainability-based education should be placed as the main framework in the 21st century learning system. On the other hand, the urgency of Climate Change Education (CCE) is increasingly evident as the impact of the climate crisis on ecosystems, health, and socio-economic stability increases.

Climate change education needs to move beyond the boundaries of pure science discussions towards an interdisciplinary approach that links social, economic, ethical, and political aspects. The integration of media literacy in the curriculum is an important key to dealing with climate-related disinformation, as well as fostering

students critical attitudes in assessing information. In addition, strengthening sustainability competencies in climate education can encourage the active participation of the younger generation in mitigation and adaptation actions at the local and global levels. Overall, the results of the study confirm that sustainable development-based education and climate change education should not be seen as an addition to the curriculum, but rather as the core of an education system that is relevant to global challenges. By systematically integrating the two, education can be a strategic instrument to prepare a capable, resilient, and able generation to create a just and sustainable future.

## References


Boeve-de Pauw, J., Olsson, D., Berglund, T., & Gericke, N. (2022). Teachers ESD self-efficacy and practices: A longitudinal study on the impact of teacher professional development. *Environmental Education Research*, *28*(6), 867-885.

Brundiers, K., Barth, M., Cebrián, G., Cohen, M., Diaz, L., Doucette-Remington, S., ... & Zint, M. (2021). Key Competencies In Sustainability In Higher Education Toward An Agreed-Upon Reference Framework. *Sustainability science*, *16*(1), 13-29.

Carney, S. (2022). Reimagining Our Futures Together: A New Social Contract For Education: By UNESCO, Paris, UNESCO, 2021, 186.

Cebrián, G., Junyent, M., & Mulà, I. (2020). Competencies in education for sustainable development: Emerging teaching and research developments. *Sustainability*, *12*(2), 579.

Cummings, M. I., & Olson, J. D. (2020). The importance and potential of community partnerships in urban schools in an era of high-stakes accountability. *Improving Schools*, *23*(2), 109-124.

Erlina, N. (2021). Kesiapan calon guru IPA dalam pengembangan rencana pembelajaran berbasis education for sustainable development. *Jurnal pendidikan dan pembelajaran sains indonesia (JPPSI)*, *4*(2), 142-150.

Hung, C. C. (2022). *Climate change education: Knowing, doing and being*. Routledge.

Kolenatý, M., Kroufek, R., & Činčera, J. (2022). What triggers climate action: The impact of a climate change education program on students climate literacy and their willingness to act. *Sustainability*, *14*(16), 10365.

Kurniawan, K. (2021). Strategi Peningkatan Kualitas dan Stabilitas Guru Tidak Tetap dalam Konteks Pendidikan Tingkat Sekolah Dasar. *Salimiya: Jurnal Studi Ilmu Keagamaan Islam*, *2*(2), 284-298.

Monroe, M. C., Plate, R. R., Oxarart, A., Bowers, A., & Chaves, W. A. (2019). Identifying effective climate change education strategies: A systematic review of the research. *Environmental Education Research*, *25*(6), 791-812.

Olsson, D., Gericke, N., & Boeve-de Pauw, J. (2022). The effectiveness of education for sustainable development revisited–a longitudinal study on secondary students' action competence for sustainability. *Environmental Education Research*, *28*(3), 405-429.