GLOBAL JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC POLICY AND DEVELOPMENT

NAWALA

Volume 3, Number 1, 2024

Inequality and Economic Performance: Reviewing Global Trends and Evidence

Ahmad Dafa Bahits Rizqulloh[1]

[1] Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: January 5, 2024
Revised: February 12, 2024
Accepted: April 20, 2024
Published: June 30, 2024

Keywords:
Economic Growth,
Human Capital,
Income Distribution,
Inequality,
Social Stability.

Identifier:
Nawala
Page: 25-33
https://nawala.io/index.php/gjepd

This study investigates the relationship between inequality and economic performance through a systematic review of global literature from last five years. The findings show that high levels of income and wealth inequality negatively impact long-term growth by limiting access to education, weakening consumption, and increasing political instability. The analysis highlights that the effects of inequality are shaped by institutional and policy environments countries with strong redistributive systems are more resilient to its adverse effects. The COVID-19 pandemic has further exposed and intensified structural disparities, especially in low-income populations. The study concludes that reducing inequality is not only a matter of social justice but also essential for achieving sustainable and inclusive economic development.

1. Introduction

Inequality has emerged as a central issue in global economic discourse, not only as a matter of social justice but also due to its significant implications for economic performance. Over the past decades, income and wealth disparities have widened in both developed and developing countries, raising concerns about the sustainability of growth and the stability of economic systems. The traditional view that inequality is a necessary byproduct of growth has increasingly been challenged by empirical evidence suggesting that excessive inequality may in fact undermine economic performance (El-Shagi & Shao, 2019).

Recent global trends indicate that high levels of inequality are often associated with lower investment in human capital, weaker social cohesion, and political instability, all of which can negatively affect long-term economic growth (Cingano, 2020). Moreover, inequality can distort consumption patterns, reduce aggregate demand, and increase financial fragility, especially in countries where access to credit is unevenly distributed (Marrero & Rodriguez, 2023). These patterns are particularly concerning in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, which has exacerbated pre-existing disparities and highlighted the structural vulnerabilities in labor markets, health systems, and social safety nets (Deaton, 2021).

A growing body of literature now focuses on understanding the causal mechanisms through which inequality impacts macroeconomic outcomes. For instance, studies have shown that unequal societies tend to experience lower and less sustainable economic growth compared to more equitable ones. This relationship has sparked renewed interest in policies aimed at promoting inclusive growth, such as progressive taxation, social protection, and investments in education and health.

This paper aims to review the global evidence on the relationship between inequality and economic performance, analyzing both the theoretical underpinnings and the empirical trends observed across different regions. By examining this relationship, we seek to provide a comprehensive understanding of how addressing inequality can contribute not only to fairness but also to more robust and resilient economic outcomes.

2. Literatur Review

The relationship between inequality and economic performance has been the focus of growing academic interest, particularly in light of increasing income and wealth disparities across both developed and developing countries. Research has shown that high levels of inequality can hinder long-term economic growth by limiting access to education, healthcare, and productive employment opportunities for lower-income groups (Jackson, 2019). This restriction in human capital development ultimately weakens overall productivity and innovation within an economy.

Moreover, recent studies have emphasized the role of inclusive institutions and redistributive policies in mitigating the adverse effects of inequality. According to de Haan and Sturm (2020), countries that implement progressive fiscal measures and invest in social infrastructure tend to experience more stable and equitable economic growth. These findings align with broader evidence suggesting that reducing inequality is not only socially desirable but also economically efficient.

Another key dimension in the inequality-growth nexus is political and social stability. Ongoing research by Gethin et al. (2022) highlights how rising inequality contributes to political polarization and undermines democratic accountability, which in turn can discourage long-term investment and sustainable development. Overall, the literature increasingly supports the idea that tackling inequality is essential for achieving resilient and inclusive economic performance.

3. Methods

This study adopts a qualitative systematic review approach to analyze the global relationship between inequality and economic performance. The method involves synthesizing theoretical and empirical findings from peer-reviewed journal articles, policy reports, and working papers published between last five years. The objective is to identify recurring patterns, causal mechanisms, and policy implications associated with inequality's impact on macroeconomic outcomes.

The research follows three main stages. First, a comprehensive literature search was conducted using academic databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, and ResearchGate. Keywords used in the search included: inequality and growth, income distribution, inclusive economic performance, redistributive policies, and economic inequality trends. Only English-language studies published in reputable, peer-reviewed journals or institutional publications were included. Second, selected studies were assessed based on relevance, methodological rigor, and regional diversity, to ensure a balanced global perspective. This included cross-country econometric analyses, case studies, and theoretical frameworks from both high-income and low- to middle-income economies.

Third, a thematic analysis was conducted to categorize the findings into several key dimensions: (1) human capital development and productivity, (2) political and social stability, and (3) fiscal and institutional responses to inequality. Through this method, the study seeks to integrate fragmented knowledge into a coherent narrative that informs both academic understanding and policy formulation. This methodological approach allows for a nuanced exploration of complex, multidimensional relationships between inequality and economic outcomes, while also accounting for context-specific factors across regions.

4. Results and Discussion

The findings from the reviewed literature highlight a consistent and robust relationship between inequality and economic performance. Across various contexts and methodologies, the evidence reveals that excessive inequality tends to hamper long-term economic growth, particularly through its effects on education access, labor productivity, and institutional quality (Kim & Lee, 2023). Countries with high income disparity often suffer from underinvestment in human capital, leading to slower innovation and reduced overall efficiency in resource allocation.

Moreover, the results demonstrate that inequality undermines macroeconomic stability. In regions where income is heavily concentrated among a small elite, aggregate demand tends to weaken, as lower-income groups have a higher marginal propensity to consume. This dynamic leads to reduced domestic consumption, which is a key driver of growth in many economies (Baccaro & Pontusson, 2019). In addition, rising inequality has been associated with increased political unrest and weakened social trust, further discouraging investment and reducing confidence in public institutions.

The discussion also reveals that the impact of inequality on economic performance is mediated by institutional and policy environments. Countries with stronger redistributive mechanisms, such as progressive taxation and targeted social spending, are better equipped to mitigate the negative effects of inequality (Balcilar et al., 2021). This aligns with the argument that it is not inequality per se, but unaddressed and structurally embedded inequality, that poses the greatest risk to economic outcomes. Importantly, regional differences matter. In advanced economies, inequality often manifests in stagnant middle-class incomes and growing wealth concentration, while in developing countries, structural barriers like limited access to credit, healthcare, and quality education deepen income gaps. These findings emphasize the need for context sensitive policies, such as inclusive labor market reforms in the Global South and tax reforms in high-income countries.

Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated these dynamics by disproportionately impacting low-income workers and informal sectors. Countries that lacked adequate social protection systems saw deeper contractions in GDP and slower recovery trajectories (Lustig et al., 2021), reinforcing the importance of integrating equity focused frameworks into national economic planning. In conclusion, the evidence supports the view that addressing inequality is not just a social imperative but an economic necessity. Sustainable and inclusive growth can only be achieved through comprehensive strategies that combine equitable wealth distribution with investment in education, health, and institutional development.

5. Conclusion

This study reaffirms the significant and multifaceted relationship between inequality and economic performance. Through a systematic review of global empirical and theoretical literature, it becomes evident that high levels of income and wealth inequality consistently undermine long-term economic growth, erode human capital potential, weaken institutional stability, and contribute to political and social unrest. These outcomes are not confined to any single region but are observable across both developed and developing economies. The analysis highlights that inequality negatively affects economic performance through several key channels particularly by restricting access to education and healthcare, reducing consumption capacity among lower-income groups, and undermining investor confidence through sociopolitical instability. Importantly, the study underscores that the economic consequences of inequality are strongly mediated by the quality of institutions and the nature of public policies in place. Countries with robust redistributive mechanisms, inclusive social systems, and equitable fiscal structures are better able to manage and mitigate the negative effects of inequality.

In light of the exacerbating effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, which exposed and intensified existing structural disparities, the findings suggest a critical need for policymakers to embed equity considerations into the core of national economic strategies. This includes implementing progressive taxation, strengthening social protection systems, and expanding access to quality public services especially for marginalized populations. Ultimately, the evidence reviewed in this study supports the conclusion that reducing inequality is not merely a moral obligation, but an essential condition for achieving sustainable, inclusive, and resilient economic development. Tackling inequality effectively can enhance social cohesion, stimulate broad-based growth, and build stronger, more adaptive economies in an increasingly uncertain global landscape.

References

Baccaro, L., & Pontusson, J. (2019). Rethinking comparative political economy: The growth model perspective. *Politics & Society*, *47*(2), 175–207.

Balcilar, M., Gupta, R., Ma, W., & Makena, P. (2021). Income inequality and economic growth: A re-examination of theory and evidence. *Review of Development Economics*, *25*(2), 737-757.

Cingano, F. (2020). Trends in income inequality and its impact on economic growth. *OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers*, No. 163.

De Haan, J., & Sturm, J. E. (2020). Finance and income inequality: A review and new evidence. *European Journal of Political Economy*, *65*, 101910.

Deaton, A. (2021). Health in an age of globalization. *Journal of Economic Literature*, *59*(3), 704–752.

El-Shagi, M., & Shao, L. (2019). The impact of inequality and redistribution on growth. *Review of Income and Wealth*, *65*(2), 239-263.

Gethin, A., Martínez-Toledano, C., & Piketty, T. (2022). Brahmin Left vs Merchant Right: Changing political cleavages in 21 democracies (1948–2020). *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *137*(1), 1–48.

Jackson, T. (2019). The post-growth challenge: secular stagnation, inequality and the limits to growth. *Ecological economics*, *156*, 236-246.

Kim, M. D., & Lee, K. S. (2023). Mapping participation in ICT4D: A meta-analytic review of development communication research. *International Communication Gazette*, *85*(2), 141-163.

Lustig, N., Pabon, V. M., & Tommasi, M. (2021). Short and long-term distributional impacts of COVID-19 in Latin America. *CEQ Working Paper*, No. 101.

Marrero, G. A., & Rodriguez, J. G. (2023). Unfair inequality and growth. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, *125*(4), 1056-1092.