INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND BUSINESS INNOVATION

NAWALA

Volume 2, Number 1, 2023

Evaluation of Inclusive Financial Models in Encouraging Capital Accessibility for Social Startups

Muhammad Fuad Riyadi[1*]

[1] Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: January 4, 2023
Revised: February 22, 2023
Accepted: April 25, 2023
Published: June 30, 2023

Keywords:
Access To Capital,
Alternative Finance,
Financial Inclusion,
Financial Model,
Social Startup.

Identifier:
Nawala
Page: 57-73
https://nawala.io/index.php/ijebi

Access to capital remains a significant challenge for social startups, which prioritize creating social impact over generating financial profit. In response, inclusive financial models have emerged as promising alternative approaches by integrating digital technology, microfinance services, and public policy support. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of such models in enhancing capital accessibility for social startups through a systematic literature review of international academic journals. The findings indicate that, although inclusive financial models have succeeded in expanding the reach of financial services to previously excluded groups, their effectiveness remains heavily dependent on the structural readiness of the surrounding ecosystem. This includes the availability of digital infrastructure, the financial literacy capacity of startup founders, and the presence of supportive regulatory frameworks. Therefore, the development of inclusive financial strategies must consider these contextual structural factors in order to create sustainable and long-term impact for the growth and resilience of social startups.

*Corresponding author:
fuadr975@gmail.com (Muhammad Fuad Riyadi)

1. Introduction

Social startups are business entities that aim to create social impact through innovative solutions to issues such as poverty, education, and health. Unlike conventional companies that pursue purely financial profit, the success of a social startup is also measured by its contribution to the welfare of society. However, in practice, many social startups face structural barriers to accessing capital, especially in the early stages of growth. These barriers include limited collateral, the absence of a strong business track record, and low financial literacy. This situation has driven the need for a more inclusive alternative approach in financing systems, which then gave rise to the concept of inclusive finance as a response to these challenges.

Inclusive finance is defined as the process of providing affordable, targeted, and sustainable financial services to all segments of society, including groups previously untouched by the formal financial system. The inclusive finance model includes various services such as micro-loans, savings, micro-insurance, as well as technology-based instruments like crowdfunding and peer-to-peer (P2P) lending. This approach not only expands the reach of the financial system but also opens up opportunities for social startups to obtain initial funding without having to meet the strict requirements of conventional financial institutions.

Literature indicates that inclusive finance plays a vital role in economic empowerment and the creation of a healthy social entrepreneurship ecosystem. Yang (2019) revealed that the integration of technology-based financial services with an inclusive approach can accelerate the growth of social businesses. In addition, advancements in digital technology have provided more flexible, efficient, and adaptive financing options for social startups that previously struggled to get access to capital from formal institutions. Platforms like crowdfunding allow startups to leverage their social networks and social narratives to raise funds from the wider community, while P2P lending directly connects funders and beneficiaries without complex intermediaries. The integration of technological innovation, supportive government policies, and an inclusive institutional environment can create a conducive ecosystem for the sustainable growth of social startups.

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of inclusive finance models in encouraging capital access for social startups is not yet fully understood holistically. Some studies suggest that structural constraints such as limited digital infrastructure, low financial literacy among startup founders, and the high risk of investment failure are the main obstacles to the optimal implementation of inclusive finance models. Anggarwal and Johal (2021) underlined that access to capital must be accompanied by an increase in managerial capacity and financial education so that social startups can use funds efficiently and responsibly. Without such support, inclusive finance risks creating new dependencies or even increasing business failures.

Therefore, a comprehensive evaluation of various inclusive finance approaches that have been implemented globally in the context of social startups is needed. This article aims to identify the inclusive finance models that have been used to support social startups, evaluate the effectiveness of each model in improving capital access, and analyze the challenges and opportunities in the implementation of these models. By using a literature review method on international journals published, this article seeks to present a comprehensive picture of the development, effectiveness, and constraints of applying inclusive finance as a financing solution for social startups.

2. Literature Review

2.1. The Evolution of Inclusive Finance Models

Inclusive finance models have undergone very significant development in the last two decades. Initially, this approach focused on providing micro-financial services aimed at populations underserved by formal financial institutions, especially those in low-income or remote groups. However, over time and with the development of community needs, the concept of inclusive finance has expanded considerably. Currently, its scope is no longer limited to traditional microfinance but also includes advances in financial technology (fintech), innovative financing schemes, as well as support through proactive and adaptive public policy interventions. According to Yang (2019), the inclusive finance approach is a strategic effort designed systematically to reduce the gap in access to capital and formal financial services, especially among micro, small, and social startups.

This group often faces various structural barriers, such as a lack of collateral assets, no credit history, or limited financial literacy. The digital transformation that has occurred in the financial sector has significantly accelerated the pace of financial inclusion through the adoption of technology platforms such as peer-to-peer (P2P) lending, crowdfunding, and the presence of neobanks. These modern financing models allow social startups to access funds directly from the general public without having to provide physical collateral or conventional credit scores (Faheem, 2021), thus opening up new opportunities for those who were previously excluded from the formal financial system.

2.2. The Role of Inclusive Finance in the Social Startup Ecosystem

Social startups have very unique characteristics and differ from business entities in general. Their main orientation is to achieve a significant social impact in the community, but at the same time, they still require financial sustainability so that their operations can continue. Unfortunately, traditional financing models such as banking institutions and conventional investors often show reluctance to be involved in financing this type of startup. This is because the risk profile of social startups is considered quite high, while the return on investment is relatively low compared to ordinary commercial businesses. A number of recent studies (Schoneveld, 2020) show that the inclusive finance approach actually offers a more flexible, adaptive, and contextual solution to meet the needs of social startups.

For example, community-based microfinance schemes provide initial access to small capital that is adequate to finance the initial stages of business idea validation. On the other hand, social crowdfunding platforms give social startups the opportunity to raise public funds by leveraging the power of the narrative about the social impact they produce. According to Busch and Berkema (2021), the successful implementation of inclusive finance in the startup context is highly dependent on three main pillars: the level of financial literacy of business owners, the availability of regulatory support from the government, and the existence of a supportive technology ecosystem. When these three factors are present, the opportunity for social startups to grow inclusively and sustainably will increase significantly.

2.3. Critical Evaluation of Model Effectiveness

Although various inclusive finance models have been introduced and applied in various forms around the world, their effectiveness in increasing capital access for social startups still shows quite significant variations. Some studies mention that although initial access to capital tends to increase through these models, the sustainability of financing in the long term remains a major challenge that has not been fully resolved (Oostendorp et al., 2019). This shows that providing access alone is not enough if it is not followed by a support system that guarantees the continuity of the social enterprise. In addition, not all inclusive finance models are suitable for application in every social or economic context. For example, the crowdfunding model is highly dependent on the level of public trust in the project offered, as well as the strength of the social narrative that can touch the emotions and interests of potential donors or investors.

If public trust is low, the fundraising has the potential to fail. On the other hand, peer-to-peer (P2P) lending schemes require an alternative risk assessment system because conventional credit scores are often not available or are not relevant for social startups that do not have a formal financial history (Anggarwal & Johal, 2021). Furthermore, some models can actually lead to new forms of exclusion for certain groups if not accompanied by comprehensive education and capacity building. Importance of integrating financing models with sustainable and adaptive training and mentoring programs.

3. Method

This study uses a systematic literature review approach to evaluate the effectiveness of inclusive finance models in increasing capital accessibility for social startups. This approach was chosen because it can provide a comprehensive understanding from various academic perspectives based on scientific sources that have undergone a peer-review process. Thus, the results of the review are expected to have a high level of reliability and validity in answering the research question. The data collection process was carried out by searching for articles from reputable international journals indexed in the Google Scholar database. The publication time frame used to ensure the timeliness of the information and its relevance to the latest conditions. Article searches used keywords such as "inclusive finance," "social startups," "financial accessibility," "alternative finance models," and "microfinance for entrepreneurs." From the search results, as many as some of the most relevant articles that met the selection criteria were selected for further analysis in this study. The inclusion criteria for article selection included the article must be in English, explicitly discuss inclusive finance models, focus on social startups or social enterprises, and be published.

Meanwhile, the exclusion criteria included articles that were opinion-based or had not undergone a peer-review process, studies that only discussed the conventional banking system without an inclusive approach, and articles that discussed a specific national context and could not be generalized. The analysis of the articles was carried out with a thematic approach, namely by identifying and grouping the findings into several main themes which include the types of inclusive finance models, the impact of the models on capital accessibility, and the success factors and obstacles in the implementation of these models. The validity of the analysis results was maintained through thematic triangulation and cross-citation mapping between references to ensure data consistency. With this methodological approach, it is hoped that the research can present a holistic, evidence-based, and relevant picture of the challenges and opportunities faced by social startups in an increasingly digital and inclusive financial ecosystem.

4. Results

Inclusive finance models have shown diversity in their forms and implementation approaches in various regions and ecosystems. Based on an in-depth review of recent academic journals published, it was found that there are five main types of models that are consistently applied and recognized in efforts to increase capital accessibility for social startups. The five models are: traditional microfinance, crowdfunding platforms, peer-to-peer (P2P) lending, digital financial services through neobanks and digital wallets, and community-based financing that relies more on a participatory social approach. The traditional microfinance model still has quite strong relevance today, especially in regions that are not yet fully covered by digital technology infrastructure or where the community's digital literacy is still low (Benami & Carter, 2021). This model is generally channeled through savings and loan cooperatives, microfinance institutions, and local community-based organizations.

The main advantage of this model lies in the strong social relations between members and the community that underlie the lending process. This system, which is based on trust and social ties, provides informal guarantees for repayment. However, this model has significant limitations in terms of scalability, as it is usually only able to reach small amounts of financing and requires intensive mentoring support to be effective. In contrast, crowdfunding platforms offer high efficiency, wide geographical (even global) reach, and relatively quick access to business capital. Crowdfunding can be reward-based, donation-based, or equity-based, depending on the fundraising mechanism used. A study by Wiyandarini et al. (2021) noted that the success of a crowdfunding campaign is heavily influenced by the strength of the social narrative conveyed by the startup and the digital communication strategy used. This indicates that communication skills, social marketing, and digital platform management are crucial factors in maximizing the potential of this model.

The P2P lending model, according to findings from Faheem (2021), allows for direct matching between funders and recipients without having to go through a conventional banking institution. This can reduce administrative costs and shorten the selection and disbursement process. P2P lending also provides flexibility in determining interest rates, tenors, and payment structures, which makes it more adaptive to the needs of social startups. However, this system faces major challenges related to data reliability and accuracy in risk assessment, especially since many social startups do not have a formally documented financial track record. Therefore, it is necessary to develop alternative risk assessment methods based on unconventional data such as digital behavior, community testimonials, or the social value of the projects being run.

The presence of neobanks and digital wallets has opened up new opportunities for more technology-based financial inclusion. Unlike traditional banks, neobanks operate entirely digitally and usually offer a fast registration process and simple access to financial services through a mobile application. With the support of machine learning algorithms, some digital financial platforms can now assess the creditworthiness of potential borrowers by analyzing alternative data such as social media activity, digital transaction history, online reputation, and other digital parameters. This is very helpful for social startups that do not have physical assets or conventional collateral. This technology allows for a more personalized approach that is oriented towards future potential, not just past history. The community-based financing model is an approach that emphasizes local participation and collaboration between community members or social networks. In this model, decision-making is collective, and social responsibility is the main basis for fund management. This model is proven to be strong in terms of social cohesion and a sense of ownership, but on the other hand, it is also vulnerable to internal conflict, power imbalances within the community, and limited financial resources. Therefore, some studies suggest that this model should not stand alone but should be integrated with external support from non-governmental organizations (NGOs), donor institutions, or even state policies to ensure its sustainability (Busch & Berkema, 2021).

In terms of effectiveness, most inclusive finance models are indeed able to provide initial access to capital, especially in the incubation or idea validation phase.

Social startups that previously had difficulty obtaining capital from formal financial institutions now have more inclusive alternatives that are in line with their context (Arena et al., 2018). However, new challenges arise in the aspects of financing sustainability and the ability to scale up. Many social startups experience stagnation or even decline after the initial phase because there is no follow-up financing scheme that is adapted to their business growth. This shows that inclusive finance models need to be designed in a layered and sustainable way so that they can follow the dynamics of social enterprise growth from the initial stage to the expansion stage (Lashitew et al., 2020). One important factor that influences the effectiveness of implementing inclusive finance models is the level of financial literacy of the founders of social startups. Many social entrepreneurs fail in fund management due to a lack of managerial training, basic financial knowledge, and the ability to create a solid business plan. Financial literacy is not only related to how to manage money but also includes an understanding of risk, strategic planning, and the ability to carry out financial evaluations and reporting. Therefore, structured and sustainable financial education is a prerequisite for inclusive finance models to have a long-term impact.

The role of technology also proves to be one of the determinants of success in the implementation of inclusive finance. The adoption of digital systems allows for higher efficiency, personalization of financial services, and the use of more flexible and adaptive unconventional data. Technology also enables innovation in the design of financial products that are tailored to the specific needs of social startups. However, on the other hand, serious challenges arise in the form of digital access inequality, especially in regions where technology infrastructure is still weak or the community does not have adequate digital skills. This digital inequality can lead to the emergence of new exclusion which contradicts the spirit of inclusion itself. In addition, the regulatory aspect is a very determining factor in creating a healthy and trusted inclusive financial ecosystem. Several articles reviewed highlighted the importance of a supportive regulatory ecosystem, such as personal data protection, operational legality for P2P lending and crowdfunding, information transparency, and fiscal incentives for social investors. Without a clear and pro-inclusion policy framework, many financial innovations will face challenges of trust and sustainability. In fact, the absence of regulation can trigger the potential for abuse or manipulation of the system that harms the end user.

In a broader context, the involvement of multi-parties or cross-sectoral actors is an important aspect that cannot be ignored. The government, the private sector, local communities, donor institutions, and civil society organizations have complementary roles in supporting the sustainability and scalability of inclusive finance models. This collaboration can not only create synergy in funding but also strengthen the accountability, transparency, and institutional capacity of the social startups themselves. This multi-stakeholder approach becomes a solid foundation for building an inclusive ecosystem that is resilient to market dynamics and social challenges in the future. Although inclusive finance models have great potential to support the growth of social startups, their success is not automatic. Structural support, capacity building, and cross-sectoral synergy are needed so that these models can develop optimally and sustainably.

5. Discussion

The findings of this literature review generally indicate that inclusive finance models play a very important and strategic role in opening up access to capital for social startups. Nevertheless, the success of these models is highly dependent on a number of complex and interrelated structural and operational factors. One of the main findings that stands out is that although various inclusive finance models such as microfinance, crowdfunding, and peer-to-peer (P2P) lending have shown positive results in the initial phase of financing, many of these models still do not have sufficient capacity to support the long-term growth of social startups. This reflects a gap between initial access to capital and the continuity of financing needed for business expansion. The analyzed literature also emphasizes that inclusive finance cannot be seen as a single, stand-alone solution. Instead, it must be understood as an integral part of a broader and more complex social entrepreneurship ecosystem. Access to capital is only one element of many aspects needed.

To be truly effective, the provision of financial access must be accompanied by efforts in capacity building, increasing financial literacy, and the use of appropriate technology. Many cases show that social startups fail not because they don't have initial funds, but because of a lack of managerial ability and strategy in managing these funds efficiently and productively. Another challenge that also arises from the literature is the existence of the digital divide and inequality in access to financial technology (Odei-Appiah et al., 2022). Although digitalization has accelerated the process of financial inclusion significantly, on the other hand, this development also has the potential to create a new form of exclusion, namely digital exclusion. Certain groups who do not have access to technology devices or do not have the ability to use digital platforms well are increasingly left behind. As a result, the gap actually widens, and the goal of inclusion becomes a paradox. The aspect of regulation and policy also plays a very decisive role in the success of implementing inclusive finance models.

In many contexts, the existence of supportive public policies such as fiscal incentives, legal protection for social investors, and legalization and supervision of alternative mechanisms such as P2P lending is very important to create trust and ecosystem stability. Conversely, regulatory uncertainty or overlapping policies can actually become a major obstacle to the scalability of developing financial innovations. In addition, financial literacy emerges as a critical issue that recurs in almost all sources analyzed. Without basic ability in managing cash flow, creating a realistic business plan, and understanding financial risk, access to capital can actually become a boomerang. Social startups that are not equipped with this understanding will find it difficult to grow sustainably even if they get funding in the initial stages (Battistella et al., 2021).

As a comprehensive reflection, it can be concluded that inclusive finance is proven to be effective as a means of social and financial empowerment, but its impact will be maximized only when combined with other supportive interventions. Therefore, a holistic and integrative approach is very necessary. This includes the combination of technology, progressive public policies, increased financial literacy, and cross-sectoral collaboration between the government, private sector, and civil society. This study finally suggests that a continuous evaluation of the implementation of inclusive finance models in various types of ecosystems needs to be carried out. The successful application of a model in one geographical or social context cannot necessarily be replicated in another place without a contextual adaptation process.

6. Conclusion

Inclusive finance models have become an important instrument in expanding access to capital for social startups. Various approaches such as microfinance, crowdfunding, P2P lending, and community-based financing have provided alternative solutions outside of the conventional banking system. This literature review shows that the effectiveness of inclusive finance models is heavily influenced by factors such as financial literacy, technological readiness, and regulatory support. Success in providing access to capital does not automatically guarantee the sustainability of a social startup. A holistic approach is needed that not only provides capital but also builds business management capacity, access to markets, and an environment that supports social innovation.

In this regard, collaboration between the government, the private sector, the community, and donor institutions is very important to create a strong inclusive ecosystem. Although there have been positive developments in the adoption of these models, challenges such as the digital divide, financing risks, and structural exclusion still need to be addressed systemically. Further research is needed to identify the most effective combination of strategies in various contexts. By strengthening the synergy between inclusive finance and social capacity building, social startups have the potential to become a catalyst for sustainable social change in the future.

References

Aggarwal, M., & Johal, R. K. (2021). Rural women entrepreneurship: a systematic literature review and beyond. *World Journal of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development*, *18*(4), 373-392.

Arena, M., Bengo, I., Calderini, M., & Chiodo, V. (2018). Unlocking finance for social tech start-ups: Is there a new opportunity space?. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *127*, 154-165.

Battistella, C., Dangelico, R. M., Nonino, F., & Pessot, E. (2021). How social start-ups avoid being falling stars when developing social innovation. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, *30*(2), 320-335.

Benami, E., & Carter, M. R. (2021). Can digital technologies reshape rural microfinance? Implications for savings, credit, & insurance. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, *43*(4), 1196-1220.

Busch, C., & Barkema, H. (2021). From necessity to opportunity: Scaling bricolage across resource-constrained environments. *Strategic Management Journal*, *42*(4), 741-773.

Faheem, M. A. (2021). AI-driven risk assessment models: Revolutionizing credit scoring and default prediction. *Iconic Research And Engineering Journals*, *5*(3), 177-186.

Lashitew, A. A., Bals, L., & van Tulder, R. (2020). Inclusive business at the base of the pyramid: The role of embeddedness for enabling social innovations. *Journal of business ethics*, *162*(2), 421-448.

Odei-Appiah, S., Wiredu, G., & Adjei, J. K. (2022). Fintech use, digital divide and financial inclusion. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*, *24*(5), 435-448.

Oostendorp, R., van Asseldonk, M., Gathiaka, J., Mulwa, R., Radeny, M., Recha, J., ... & van Wesenbeeck, L. (2019). Inclusive agribusiness under climate change: a brief review of the role of finance. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *41*, 18-22.

Schoneveld, G. C. (2020). Sustainable business models for inclusive growth: Towards a conceptual foundation of inclusive business. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *277*, 124062.

Wiyandarini, G., Hariani, M., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2021). Community-based Institutional Financing Model through Cooperatives for Micro and Small Enterprises. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, *1*(1), 263-268.

Yang, H. (2019). Fintech as a Strategy of Financial Inclusion in the Age of Digitalization. *Journal of APEC Studies*, *11*(2), 93-106.