INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND BUSINESS INNOVATION

NAWALA

Volume 4, Number 1, 2025

Strategic Management and Technology Innovation in Micro Enterprises Post-Pandemic

Nyoman Sri Manik Parasari [1*]

[1] Universitas Pendidikan Nasional, Denpasar, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: January 8, 2025
Revised: February 23, 2025
Accepted: April 24, 2025
Published: June 30, 2025

Keywords:
Inclusive Entrepreneurship,
Social Challenges,
Social Innovation,
Social Justice,
Sustainable Development.

Identifier:
Nawala
Page: 59-76
https://nawala.io/index.php/ijebi

Social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship represent strategic responses to increasingly complex socio-economic challenges in the context of global development. This literature study aims to explore in depth the dynamics, practices, and impacts of implementing social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship, focusing primarily on efforts to empower vulnerable groups and reduce persistent social inequality. By analyzing 11 selected international journal articles, this research identifies several patterns of social intervention and business models that are not only adaptive to local contexts but also capable of driving sustainable and equitable development. The findings of this study reinforce the urgency of integrating social values into modern entrepreneurial practices as a holistic approach to addressing complex socio-economic issues. Furthermore, the study provides recommendations for strengthening cross-sector collaborative ecosystems to foster broader and more sustainable social impacts. The research contributes to a growing understanding of how socially driven business practices can act as catalysts for inclusive and transformative development.

*Corresponding author:
manikparasari@undiknas.ac.id (Nyoman Sri Manik Parasari)

1. Introduction

Socioeconomic inequality has become an increasingly tangible and complex global challenge, demanding transformative solutions that go beyond conventional approaches. Multidimensional crises such as rising unemployment, systemic marginalization of vulnerable groups, widening income disparities, and limited access to basic services like education, healthcare, and finance are pressing issues that can no longer be addressed with old strategies. This reality necessitates the emergence of innovative approaches rooted in empowerment, inclusivity, and long-term sustainability. In this context, social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship emerge as progressive approaches with significant potential to bring about systemic change. Both integrate social values into the mechanisms of economic value creation, placing community interests at the core of the innovation and value creation process.

Social innovation, in general, refers to the process of developing and implementing various new solutions to existing social problems that are more effective, efficient, and sustainable than previously implemented solutions (Solis-Navarrete et al., 2021). This concept emphasizes the importance of active community participation, cross-sector or multi-stakeholder collaboration, and an orientation towards systemic change in existing social structures. The primary goal of social innovation is not only to solve surface-level problems but also to create broad social impact through changes in structures, mindsets, and social practices that are at the root of injustice. In practice, social innovation is not only outcomeoriented but also highly attentive to the processes of community empowerment, local involvement, and distributive justice in the social change that occurs.

Meanwhile, inclusive entrepreneurship is a business model that explicitly and deliberately incorporates historically marginalized or neglected groups into the economic ecosystem. These groups can act as producers, workers, and even active consumers. Thus, this approach creates access to fair and balanced economic opportunities and significantly strengthens the social fabric from the bottom up. Inclusive entrepreneurship differs from traditional forms of entrepreneurship because it explicitly balances the achievement of economic profit with the achievement of sustainable and meaningful social outcomes. This phenomenon is increasingly relevant and important amid the rapidly changing global socioeconomic context, especially due to digital transformation, the global climate crisis, and dynamic demographic pressures. In this context, social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship act as a bridge connecting the needs between modern technology, formal institutions, and the needs of grassroots communities.

Examples can be seen in community-based interventions, such as digital financial inclusion programs or social enterprises that empower disabled groups and marginalized communities. These initiatives reflect a paradigm shift from an extractive economy to a participatory and collaborative economy. This research aims to compile a comprehensive, systematic, and in-depth literature review on the strategic role of social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship in addressing contemporary socioeconomic challenges. The main focus of this study is to identify models, approaches, and the impact of these practices on the dynamics of social change, and to evaluate how effective these strategies are in promoting fair, equitable, and sustainable development.

Methodologically, this study uses a qualitative approach based on a systematic literature review of various international scientific journals published last five years. This timeframe was strategically chosen because it reflects contemporary dynamics, including significant post-pandemic changes that have structurally altered the global socioeconomic landscape. The results of this study are expected to provide strategic insights useful for academics, policymakers, third-sector actors, and development practitioners to design more inclusive, adaptive, and long-term impactful policies, business models, and social initiatives.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Concepts and Dimensions of Social Innovation

Social innovation refers to the creation of new ideas, innovative products, impactful services, or fresh and unprecedented organizational models, specifically aimed at meeting various social needs that have not been adequately fulfilled by either the public or private sectors (Aksoy et al., 2019). In practice, social innovation emerges as a response to systemic failures that hinder public access to basic services, social justice, and economic equality. The main dimensions contained in this social innovation approach include active and inclusive participation from local communities, cross-sector collaboration among government, private sector, and civil society, and the creation of long-term impacts oriented towards structural change.

Social innovation strategies are not limited to the creation of products or services alone but also encompass systemic and transformative approaches that directly challenge social norms and institutional structures that have historically produced inequality. This approach encourages social change through strengthening community capacity and repositioning their role as agents of change. Social innovation becomes crucial, especially when existing policy structures and prevailing market mechanisms are unable to distribute development benefits equitably and fairly. In such conditions, actors such as NGOs, cooperatives, local communities, and even individual citizens have significant opportunities to emerge as pioneers in creating meaningful and tangible social change and transformation.

2.2. Inclusive Entrepreneurship as an Alternative Economic Mechanism

Inclusive entrepreneurship involves the conscious and strategic development of a business model that integrates marginalized groups into the process of creating both economic and social value simultaneously. These marginalized groups include individuals or communities who have historically been excluded from access to economic resources, such as low-income communities, people with disabilities, female-headed households, and other groups facing structural barriers. This approach has been applied in various productive and strategic sectors, ranging from small-scale agriculture, home manufacturing industries, to platform-based digital technology. The inclusive entrepreneurship model does not merely aim for profit but further emphasizes community empowerment and social justice. This model explicitly rejects exploitative patterns and instead makes social welfare a key indicator of business success.

According to Satar (2022), inclusive entrepreneurship often thrives in social and economic environments characterized by the absence or weakness of conventional regulations. This is particularly true at the grassroots community level, where basic needs are often unmet by formal systems. In this context, the success of inclusive entrepreneurship programs heavily depends on the ability to recognize and understand specific local needs, as well as to develop products and services that are genuinely socially relevant and have direct benefits for the communities in which the businesses operate.

2.3. Impact and Evaluation on Socioeconomic Challenges

Studies Kostetska et al. (2021) show that the close and synergistic integration between social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship contributes significantly to various important aspects of socioeconomic development. One of the most tangible contributions is in reducing unemployment rates through the creation of new community-based and inclusive job opportunities. In addition, this approach also positively impacts increasing community access to education, both formal and non-formal, including skills-based training. No less importantly, this integrated approach also strengthens local economic sustainability by building community production capacity and expanding market access in a participatory and equitable manner.

The role of digital innovation in enhancing the effectiveness of these models cannot be overlooked. Especially in the form of financial technology (fintech) and digital platform-based technology, new opportunities have opened up for broader financial inclusion, reaching groups previously unserved by formal financial institutions. This technology has also enabled the provision of online skills training, reaching remote communities at low cost. However, several challenges remain. Among them are issues of financial sustainability of social enterprises, institutional resistance to change, and limited technical and managerial capacity of local communities. Therefore, adaptive, participatory, and collaborative approaches are crucial to ensure that the social impact generated can be long-lasting and sustainable.

3. Method

This research employs a systematic literature review approach with an exploratory-qualitative design, aiming to identify, evaluate, and synthesize relevant academic literature on social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship in relation to solving socioeconomic challenges. This method was chosen to obtain a comprehensive understanding of trends, patterns, and findings emerging from previous research. The secondary data collection process was conducted through searching indexed international scientific journals available online via the Google Scholar platform.

Several inclusion criteria were used in the literature selection process, including that articles must be international journals published within the specified period, primarily focus on social innovation or inclusive entrepreneurship, be available in full text, and be published by accredited academic institutions or journals. From an initial search of dozens of articles, fifteen articles were selected based on topic relevance, methodological quality, and diversity of analytical approaches. The selection was carried out through an identification phase with main keyword searches such as "social innovation," "inclusive entrepreneurship," and "socio-economic challenges," followed by an initial screening process through abstracts and tables of contents, and an in-depth review of the methods and findings presented in the articles. In the final stage, articles with diverse conceptual approaches and broad geographical representation were selected for further analysis.

Data analysis was performed using a thematic method, which aimed to categorize research findings into broad themes such as social impact, business models, community participation, technology use, and regulatory frameworks. This approach allowed researchers to understand more deeply the relationship between innovative practices and their effectiveness in facing complex socioeconomic barriers. To strengthen the validity of the results, triangulation of sources and cross-referencing for conceptual consistency and content suitability among articles were used. The primary emphasis was placed on integrating empirical findings and theoretical foundations to construct a complete and meaningful narrative about how social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship can be effective solutions to ongoing social and economic inequality issues.

4. Results

Based on the literature review conducted on fifteen relevant international journal articles published within the last five years, several recurring patterns and key findings were identified that strengthen the argument for the strategic role of social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship in efforts to address various contemporary socioeconomic challenges. The findings compiled from these studies can be categorized into six main interrelated themes that form an integrated analytical framework: social value creation, empowerment of marginalized groups, technology-based innovation, business model adaptability, institutional role, and implementation challenges in the field.

Firstly, social innovation consistently proves to play a central role in the process of social value creation that is not solely driven by economic interests. A study by Aksoy et al. (2019) shows that community-based projects integrating participatory, collaborative, and inclusive design approaches are capable of generating significant long-term social impact, particularly in improving the quality of life for communities previously underserved by public policies. Such social innovations are not merely technical interventions or aid programs, but transformative initiatives that grow from specific social, cultural, and local contexts, and are based on local knowledge, community trust, and living social values within that society.

Secondly, inclusive entrepreneurship proves capable of providing a concrete and operational platform for marginalized groups such as women, people with disabilities, minority groups, indigenous communities, and low-income communities to enter and actively participate in the productive economic system. The economic participation rate of these previously marginalized groups significantly increases when they are provided with adequate access to skills training, micro-funding or alternative financing schemes, and policy support that is friendly to social entrepreneurship. By adopting an inclusive model, this approach positions these groups not only as beneficiaries but also as key actors in the creation of economic and social value within the entrepreneurial ecosystem built.

Thirdly, the rapid digital transformation has become an important accelerator for the emergence and development of various social initiatives and forms of inclusive entrepreneurship across the globe. Adoption of digital technologies such as mobile applications for marketing and payments, e-wallets, and blockchain systems for transaction transparency has driven efficiency in the distribution of social assistance, the distribution of local community-produced products, and the delivery of online or remote skills training. This technology expands the reach of social interventions and increases the scale of impact from initiatives that were previously local to regional, even global, especially in areas with limited physical and institutional infrastructure.

Fourthly, from the perspective of business model development and implementation, various studies show that social and inclusive entrepreneurship approaches are not static but are highly adaptive to changing socioeconomic dynamics. Kostetska et al. (2021) emphasize the importance of the co-creation principle in developing resilient social business models that can withstand various crises, whether economic, environmental, or health-related. Successful social business models no longer rely entirely on grants, donations, or subsidies, but are capable of generating economic value independently from the social activities undertaken, thus creating financial sustainability which is the main foundation for the long-term viability of these initiatives.

Fifthly, the role of both formal and informal institutions is very important and even crucial in creating an ecosystem that supports the growth and development of social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship.) Existence of supportive policies, fiscal incentives, easy access to financing, and a regulatory framework that is adaptive to the characteristics of social enterprises can significantly drive the growth of the social entrepreneurship ecosystem. Conversely, the absence of institutional support or regulatory fragmentation causes many community-scale social initiatives to be short-lived, underdeveloped, and unable to produce broad and sustainable social and economic impacts.

However, although various study results show the great potential of this approach, there are still a number of crucial implementation challenges in the field. One of the main challenges is the limited managerial capacity of grassroots communities to manage social business models professionally and sustainably. Many initiatives experience failure or stagnation due to a lack of training and support in fundamental aspects such as financial management, product marketing, logistics, supply chain management, and business administration (Lashitew et al., 2022).

Another significant challenge is regulatory inconsistency and public policy uncertainty, especially in countries with weak or unstable governance systems. Sudden policy changes, lack of synchronization between institutions, or complex bureaucracy often become serious obstacles to the growth of community-based social enterprises. This uncertainty also makes it difficult for social entrepreneurs to design long-term strategies, attract social investment, and build cross-sectoral partnerships.

Furthermore, although digital technology opens up huge opportunities, it cannot be denied that there is still an inequality of access to such technology. Groups classified as "digitally poor" face various barriers, such as limited hardware, poor or expensive internet access, and low digital literacy. If not addressed with a technology justice-based approach, digital transformation could actually widen existing inequalities. In this regard, Hakimi (2021) emphasize the importance of digital literacy programs integrated with economic empowerment programs, so that technological transformation is truly inclusive and non-discriminatory.

A study by Dana et al. (2021) also shows that local cultural factors have a very significant influence in determining the success or failure of a social innovation initiative. Social values, customary norms, and local power structures must be understood and accommodated in program design. Intervention models that succeed in one region cannot necessarily be applied in other regions without contextual adjustments. Therefore, a bottom-up approach that emphasizes community participation and adaptation to local contexts becomes very important in ensuring the sustainable success of programs.

Another important finding from this literature study is the potential for great synergy among the private sector, civil society, and public institutions in creating social innovations with broad and systemic impact. A study by Pedersen et al. (2021) meticulously describes how cross-sector collaboration can produce solutions that not only have a direct impact on beneficiaries but also drive broader system transformation through policy changes, institutional innovation, and more ethical business practices. This collaborative model operates through a unified framework that emphasizes equitable risk sharing, mutual knowledge exchange and distribution, as well as joint strategic planning among stakeholders. By fostering inclusive participation and aligning diverse interests, it aims to build synergy and collective ownership in addressing shared social challenges. Such collaboration enhances the effectiveness and sustainability of social development initiatives by leveraging the unique strengths of each sector public, private, and civil society toward achieving common goals rooted in equity, empowerment, and long-term impact.

This literature study also identifies various success indicators of initiatives based on social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship. These indicators include the number of marginalized groups actively involved in economic activities, increased household income, reduced dependence on external assistance, and increased collective capacity of communities to make decisions and manage their own resources. These indicators are more comprehensive and contextual compared to conventional measurement approaches that tend to focus only on economic growth or increased production output (Angrist et al., 2021).

Overall, the results of this literature study clearly show that the success of social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship practices is not only determined by the creativity of the actors or the sophistication of the technology used, but is greatly influenced by the existence of a support system that enables sustainable social transformation. Therefore, sustainable local capacity building, strong and consistent policy support, and the formation of a collaborative cross-sectoral ecosystem are key to creating real, equitable, and long-lasting socioeconomic impacts for marginalized communities.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study strongly emphasize that social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship are two strategic, complementary, and inseparable approaches in the context of sustainable and inclusive development. In socioeconomic situations that increasingly demonstrate clear and widespread inequality, the close integration of social values based on justice, solidarity, and empowerment with adaptive and innovative market mechanisms becomes a promising and relevant solution to be applied at both local and global scales. Social innovation has been empirically proven to be able to penetrate structural and institutional boundaries that have previously been unreachable by government policies or conventional market mechanisms. This is possible because social innovation stems from needs arising at the local level and is driven by social actors who directly understand the local social, cultural, and economic context.

Community involvement from the early stages of planning, implementation, to program evaluation makes the solutions produced more contextual, sustainable, and possess high social legitimacy in the eyes of the beneficiary communities. Inclusive entrepreneurship, on the other hand, makes a tangible contribution by expanding access to the formal economic system and creating economic opportunities for communities that were previously marginalized. This approach has progressively shifted the development paradigm from a top-down and elitist model to a more bottom-up, participatory, and community-based one. However, it is important to note that the success of this model heavily depends on consistent and long-term systemic support. This includes aspects such as continuous mentoring, relevant training programs, open access to markets, and inclusive and equitable financing schemes.

The main challenges identified from various studies include limited local capacity, unequal access to technology, and a lack of effective coordination among stakeholders (Omweri, 2024). In this context, a cross-sectoral approach becomes crucial to overcome these limitations. Collaboration among the private sector, civil society organizations, and government agencies enables the integration of resources, exchange of competencies, and the formation of synergistic relationships among development actors. In addition to commonly used economic indicators, the success of social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship also needs to be measured through non-economic dimensions such as the level of community empowerment, the extent of community participation, and the occurrence of structural changes in local social dynamics. Therefore, the development of a multidimensional, contextual, and socially process-sensitive evaluation framework is essential.

With the rapid development of digital technology, there are significant opportunities to expand the reach and scale of impact of community-based social initiatives. However, this opportunity must be balanced with systematic and structured efforts to address the persistent digital divide, so that digital transformation does not inadvertently create deeper new inequalities. Therefore, inclusivity in innovation must not only cover social aspects but also include inclusion in access to and utilization of technology. The integration of social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship can be said to be an integral approach that is highly relevant in addressing socioeconomic challenges holistically and sustainably.

Targeted policy support, the existence of a collaborative cross-sectoral ecosystem, and continuous community capacity building are key requirements in creating a real, equitable, and long-lasting socioeconomic impact for marginalized communities.

6. Conclusion

This study confirms that social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship are transformational approaches that can provide tangible solutions to complex socioeconomic challenges. By combining social goals with entrepreneurial strategies, these approaches create a more participatory, adaptive, and sustainable development model. From the literature review, it appears that the success of social innovation highly depends on local community involvement, contextual approaches, and cross-sector collaboration. Meanwhile, inclusive entrepreneurship plays a crucial role in opening up economic access for marginalized groups and providing a fairer economic alternative. Challenges such as technological inequality, low institutional capacity, and limited access to financing remain obstacles that must be overcome.

Nevertheless, with the right system support, this approach can drive sustainable and inclusive social change. Coordinated efforts from various actors are needed to strengthen the ecosystem of social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship. Government, the private sector, civil society, and educational institutions need to play synergistic roles in creating a growth environment for socially responsible entrepreneurial practices. Thus, social innovation and inclusive entrepreneurship are not only development instruments but also a reflection of the direction of social change towards a more just, equitable, and empowered society.

References

Aksoy, L., Alkire, L., Choi, S., Kim, P. B., & Zhang, L. (2019). Social innovation in service: A conceptual framework and research agenda. *Journal of Service Management, 30*(3), 429–448.

Angrist, N., Goldberg, P. K., & Jolliffe, D. (2021). Why is growth in developing countries so hard to measure? *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 35*(3), 215–242.

Dana, L. P., Gurău, C., Hoy, F., Ramadani, V., & Alexander, T. (2021). Success factors and challenges of grassroots innovations: Learning from failure. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 164*, 119600.

Hakami, S. (2021). The role of social entrepreneurship in community development: A case study of social entrepreneurship in Saudi Arabia. *Psychology and Education Journal, 58*(2), 154–161.

Kostetska, K. O., Gordiichuk, Y. G., Movchaniuk, A. V., Vdovenko, N. M., Nahornyi, V. V., & Koval, V. V. (2021). Inclusive development of social entrepreneurship in nature management. *Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology, 30*(3), 500–511.

Lashitew, A. A., van Tulder, R., & Muche, L. (2022). Social value creation in institutional voids: A business model perspective. *Business & Society, 61*(8), 1992–2037.

Omweri, F. S. (2024). A systematic literature review of e-government implementation in developing countries: Examining urban-rural disparities, institutional capacity, and socio-cultural factors in the context of local governance and progress towards SDG 16.6. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, 8*(8), 1173–1199.

Pedersen, E. R. G., Lüdeke-Freund, F., Henriques, I., & Seitanidi, M. M. (2021). Toward collaborative cross-sector business models for sustainability. *Business & Society, 60*(5), 1039–1058.

Satar, M. S. (2022). Sustainability and triple bottom line planning in social enterprises: Developing the guidelines for social entrepreneurs. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, 17*(3), 813–821.

Solis-Navarrete, J. A., Bucio-Mendoza, S., & Paneque-Gálvez, J. (2021). What is not social innovation. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 173*, 121190.