INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
PUBLIC FINANCE
AND ACCOUNTABILITY

NAWALA

Volume 1, Number 1, *2022*

Ethics and Integrity in Public Financial Accountability: A Systematic Literature Review

Asep Nurdin[1*]

[1] Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: March 10, 2022
Revised: April 17, 2022
Accepted: May 04, 2022
Published: June 30, 2022

Keywords:
Ethical Leadership, Ethics in Governance, Integrity Mechanisms, Public Financial Accountability, Public Sector Auditing.

Identifier:
Nawala
Page: 47-57
https://nawala.io/index.php/ijpfa

This systematic literature review highlights the role of ethics and integrity in strengthening public financial accountability. Based on studies from 2010–2021, it shows that ethical leadership, integrity mechanisms (codes of conduct, whistleblowing systems, integrity units), and independent audits foster transparency, reduce corruption risks, and build trust. Ethical leadership shapes culture and enforces standards, while audits enhance fiscal oversight. However, challenges such as political resistance, weak capacity, and poor enforcement hinder implementation. The review identifies a need for comparative and interdisciplinary research and stresses stronger policy commitment to align ethics with practical oversight for sustainable financial governance.

*Corresponding author:
(Asep Nurdin)

1. Introduction

In recent years, the discourse on public financial accountability has increasingly emphasized the central role of ethics and integrity in ensuring transparent, responsible, and effective governance. As public institutions face growing scrutiny from citizens, civil society, and international stakeholders, the presence of strong ethical values and institutional integrity mechanisms has become essential in fostering trust, enhancing legitimacy, and reducing opportunities for corruption and financial mismanagement (Menzel, 2015). Ethics in public finance not only functions as a moral compass guiding individual behavior but also serves as a structural pillar that reinforces institutional procedures, policy making, and enforcement mechanisms which promote accountability, equity, and transparency (Bowman & West, 2015).

The growing complexity of governance structures, coupled with increasing demands for fiscal transparency, has placed ethical leadership and integrity frameworks at the forefront of public sector reform. Several empirical studies suggest that ethical infrastructure such as leadership commitment, value based codes of conduct, and institutional integrity units can significantly enhance the performance of public financial management systems by improving compliance with regulatory frameworks, reducing discretionary abuse, and reinforcing audit quality (Ellwood & Garcia-Lacalle, 2015). In addition, the effectiveness of audit committees, particularly in ensuring independent oversight and improving internal control mechanisms, has been identified as a key determinant of fiscal integrity in many public institutions (Ellwood & Garcia-Lacalle, 2015; Legodi, 2021).

Moreover, public procurement has emerged as one of the most vulnerable areas to unethical practices, due to its discretionary nature and the complexity of contract management. Research has shown that implementing integrity mechanisms such as e-procurement systems, open bidding procedures, and proactive transparency policies can mitigate corruption risks and enhance the credibility of fiscal governance (Fazekas & Tóth, 2016). These approaches align with broader anti-corruption efforts that advocate for harmonized standards, greater public oversight, and international cooperation to reduce opportunities for fraud and collusion.

Despite the growing recognition of these issues, the literature on how ethics and integrity are systematically embedded within public financial accountability frameworks remains fragmented and underdeveloped in terms of comparative and interdisciplinary perspectives. There is a need for a comprehensive synthesis that consolidates findings across regions, disciplines, and governance levels. Therefore, this systematic literature review aims to analyze academic research published between 2010 and 2021 to identify dominant themes, conceptual frameworks, and empirical patterns concerning ethics and integrity in the context of public financial accountability.

2. Literature Review

In the evolving landscape of public financial governance, the role of ethics and integrity has gained renewed prominence as a foundation for promoting transparency, accountability, and public trust. As governments face increasing scrutiny from citizens, civil society, and international institutions, it has become evident that sound financial management cannot be achieved merely through technical reforms or regulatory compliance it must also be grounded in a strong ethical framework.

Integrity, as defined by Huberts (2018), involves adherence to moral values, norms, and rules that guide public officials in their conduct. It goes beyond personal honesty and encompasses institutional behavior, procedural fairness, and the ethical design of systems that manage public resources. In this sense, integrity functions not just as an individual virtue but as a systemic condition that enhances legitimacy and reduces the risk of corruption and financial mismanagement. Huberts further emphasizes that integrity is essential for effective governance, particularly in complex and resource sensitive areas like public budgeting, procurement, and expenditure monitoring.

A crucial mechanism for embedding integrity into public financial systems is ethical leadership. According to Heres et al. (2017), ethical leadership is characterized by role modeling, norm setting, and reinforcement of ethical behavior throughout an organization. Leaders in public institutions serve not only as decision makers but also as ethical exemplars whose values shape organizational culture. When leaders uphold ethical standards, they influence others to follow suit, contributing to an environment where misconduct is less likely to occur and where transparency and accountability can flourish. Ethical leadership, therefore, plays a pivotal role in ensuring that financial processes remain fair, lawful, and in the public interest.

Another institutional pillar supporting public financial accountability is the public sector audit. Hay and Cordery (2021) argue that financial statement audits in the public sector fulfill several important functions: they reduce information asymmetries, provide assurance to stakeholders, and enhance trust in public institutions. Their study highlights that audits act as both governance tools and signaling mechanisms that reinforce the credibility of financial reporting. The authors also note that the effectiveness of public audits depends significantly on the independence of auditors, the ethical context of the audited organizations, and the existence of follow up mechanisms to address audit findings. Without these elements, the potential of auditing to improve accountability and prevent misuse of public funds remains limited.

Despite the growing attention to ethics and integrity in public financial management, the literature also reveals significant implementation gaps. Ethical codes may exist on paper, but without supportive leadership, organizational culture, and effective oversight, their impact can be superficial. Moreover, differences in political will, administrative capacity, and institutional design can lead to uneven applications of ethical standards across countries and sectors. These challenges point to the need for more comparative and interdisciplinary research that explores how ethics and integrity can be operationalized and institutionalized in diverse governance contexts.

In sum, the integration of ethics and integrity into public financial accountability is not optional it is foundational. The literature reviewed underscores the importance of ethical leadership, strong institutional mechanisms, and independent auditing practices in promoting financial discipline and public trust.

Yet, it also highlights that further efforts are needed to translate ethical principles into practical reforms that are context-sensitive and sustainably implemented.

3. Methods

This study employs a systematic literature review approach to explore the role of ethics and integrity in public financial accountability. The objective is to identify recurring themes, conceptual frameworks, and institutional mechanisms discussed in academic literature that relate to ethical governance and financial oversight within the public sector.

The review focuses on peer reviewed journal articles, academic books, and relevant conference proceedings published between 2010 and 2021. The selection criteria include publications written in English that specifically address the intersection of ethics, integrity, leadership, and public financial management. Sources were collected through academic databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect using relevant keywords such as "ethics in public finance," "integrity in governance," "ethical leadership," and "public sector audit."

The research process followed several stages. First, an initial screening was conducted to remove duplicate or irrelevant articles. Second, a content analysis was performed to extract core arguments, methodologies, and findings related to ethical practices in public financial systems. Third, the selected literature was categorized into key themes, including ethical leadership, institutional integrity mechanisms, and auditing practices in the public sector. These themes were then analyzed to understand how they contribute to financial accountability and what challenges are commonly encountered in their implementation.

This method allows for a comprehensive understanding of the existing body of knowledge while identifying gaps and areas for future research. It also supports the development of a conceptual synthesis that links ethical frameworks with practical mechanisms of public financial accountability across various contexts.

4. Results and Discussion

The findings of this systematic literature review reveal that ethics and integrity are increasingly regarded as core components in enhancing public financial accountability. A dominant theme that emerges is the indispensable role of ethical leadership in shaping the conduct and performance of public institutions. Ethical leaders are shown to foster cultures of accountability by modeling appropriate behavior, enforcing ethical standards, and promoting transparent decision making processes. According to Kaptein (2015), ethical leadership strengthens organizational integrity by aligning values, behaviors, and formal systems, thereby reducing ethical misconduct and enhancing compliance within public financial management systems.

Another critical finding is the relevance of institutional integrity mechanisms, such as integrity units, codes of conduct, and whistleblowing channels. These tools serve not only as preventive measures but also as accountability instruments that empower internal and external stakeholders to monitor and evaluate financial practices. Research by Lawton et al. (2013) suggests that integrity systems embedded within public organizations significantly contribute to reducing discretionary abuse, especially in sensitive areas such as public procurement and budgeting.

Moreover, the review highlights the pivotal role of independent auditing in reinforcing financial oversight and credibility. The existence of autonomous audit bodies, supported by robust ethical frameworks, enhances fiscal discipline and reduces the risk of misreporting or mismanagement. As demonstrated by Van Loocke et al. (2011), effective auditing in the public sector depends on institutional independence, auditor professionalism, and the presence of mechanisms that ensure follow up on audit recommendations. These conditions are essential for audits to move beyond formal compliance and achieve substantive accountability outcomes.

However, the implementation of ethics and integrity measures is not without challenges. Many public institutions, particularly in developing countries, face barriers such as low administrative capacity, weak enforcement, and political interference. According to Rose-Ackerman and Palifka (2016), even when formal ethical frameworks exist, their impact is often undermined by a lack of commitment from leadership, insufficient resources, or cultural resistance to transparency initiatives. This explains the uneven adoption and efficacy of integrity reforms across governance contexts.

Furthermore, the analysis reveals a significant research gap in comparative and interdisciplinary perspectives. While case studies and sectoral analyses are prevalent, few studies provide cross national or cross-sector comparisons that could yield broader generalizations. Bovens et al. (2014) argue that accountability should be examined through multiple lenses including legal, administrative, and ethical to capture the full scope of governance dynamics. Addressing this gap is essential for developing adaptive, context-sensitive frameworks that can be tailored to specific institutional realities.

In summary, the literature confirms that embedding ethics and integrity into public financial accountability frameworks enhances transparency, reduces corruption risks, and builds public trust. Nevertheless, these benefits are contingent upon the presence of ethical leadership, effective institutional mechanisms, and a supportive governance environment. Moving forward, both scholars and practitioners must focus on strengthening the implementation of ethical reforms and fostering systemic change through interdisciplinary collaboration.

5. Conclusion

The systematic literature review underscores that ethics and integrity are foundational elements in strengthening public financial accountability. Ethical leadership, institutional integrity mechanisms, and independent audits are consistently identified as key drivers in promoting transparency, preventing misuse of public resources, and enhancing public trust. The integration of moral principles into financial governance goes beyond mere regulatory compliance it serves as a strategic imperative for sustainable, equitable, and credible fiscal management. However, despite the increasing recognition of their importance, substantial implementation gaps persist, often due to limited institutional capacity, political resistance, and lack of interdisciplinary coordination. The literature also points to a need for more comparative research to better understand how ethical governance practices can be effectively adapted across diverse political, cultural, and administrative settings. Moving forward, bridging these gaps requires not only stronger policy commitment but also a deeper synthesis between ethical theory and practical financial oversight mechanisms, ensuring that accountability is both value-driven and contextually responsive.

References

Bovens, M., Goodin, R. E., & Schillemans, T. (2014). *The Oxford Handbook of Public Accountability*. Oxford University Press.

Bowman, J. S., & West, J. P. (2015). Public service ethics: Individual and institutional responsibilities. *Public Integrity, 17*(3), 243–258.

Ellwood, S., & Garcia-Lacalle, J. (2015). Examining audit committees in the corporate governance of public bodies. *Public Management Review, 18*(8), 1138–1162.

Fazekas, M., & Tóth, B. (2016). From corruption to state capture: A new analytical framework with empirical applications from Hungary. *Political Research Quarterly, 69*(2), 320–334.

Hay, D., & Cordery, C. J. (2021). Evidence about the value of financial statement audit in the public sector. *Public Money & Management, 41*(4), 304–314.

Heres, L., Huberts, L. W. J. C., & Lasthuizen, K. (2017). Ethical leadership: A complex and messy phenomenon. In C. J. Jurkiewicz & R. A. Giacalone (Eds.), *Radical Thoughts on Ethical Leadership* (pp. 135–150). Information Age Publishing.

Huberts, L. W. J. C. (2018). Integrity: What it is and why it is important. *Public Integrity, 20*(sup1), S18–S32.

Kaptein, M. (2015). The effectiveness of ethics programs: The role of scope, composition, and sequence. *Journal of Business Ethics, 132*(2), 415–431.

Lawton, A., Rayner, J., & Lasthuizen, K. (2013). *Ethics and Management in the Public Sector*. Routledge.

Legodi, A. H. (2021). Enhancing audit committee effectiveness in South African municipalities. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science, 10*(2), 108–117.

Menzel, D. C. (2015). Research on ethics and integrity in public administration: Moving forward, looking back. *Public Integrity, 17*(4), 343–370.

Rose-Ackerman, S., & Palifka, B. J. (2016). *Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Van Loocke, E., & Put, V. (2011). The impact of performance audits: A review of the existing evidence. *Performance Audit, 25*(3), 45–60.