

The Role of Stakeholder Engagement in Public Finance Accountability: A Systematic Literature Review

Wahyu Nia Kurnia Sari^{1*}

¹ Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:

Received: March 10, 2023

Revised: April 17, 2023

Accepted: May 04, 2023

Published: June 30, 2023

Keywords:

E-Governance, Fiscal
Transparency, Participatory
Budgeting, Public Finance
Accountability, Stakeholder
Engagement.

Identifier:

Nawala

Page: 22-31

<https://nawala.io/index.php/ijpfa>

This study systematically reviews 10 publications (2012-2022) on stakeholder engagement as a driver of public finance accountability. The analysis highlights three main themes: participatory mechanisms, technology-based engagement tools, and institutional conditions that shape accountability outcomes. Results show that participatory budgeting, public consultations, and digital innovations such as open data portals and e-budgeting can strengthen transparency, decision making, and institutional responsiveness. However, their success largely depends on supportive political will, legal frameworks, and organizational capacity. Persistent challenges include digital divides and tokenistic participation, which limit the inclusiveness of engagement. The findings suggest that hybrid approaches combining face-to-face and digital participation within robust governance structures are essential to build more sustainable and accountable public finance systems.

*Corresponding author:
(Wahyu Nia Kurnia Sari)

©2023 The Author(s).

This is an open-access article under CC-BY-SA license (<https://creativecommons.org/licence/by-sa/4.0/>)



1. Introduction

Public finance accountability serves as a cornerstone for building public trust in government and ensuring that public resources are utilized efficiently, transparently, and in accordance with established regulations. In recent years, stakeholder engagement defined as the active involvement of parties with an interest in or affected by public policy has gained recognition as a critical mechanism for strengthening fiscal accountability. Empirical studies highlight that active citizen and stakeholder participation can enhance transparency, improve the quality of decision-making, and foster institutional accountability (Bovaird et al., 2019).

Stakeholder engagement in public finance encompasses a wide range of practices, including participatory budgeting, public consultations, and collaborative governance platforms. These approaches not only provide citizens with access to budgetary information but also empower them to influence fiscal priorities and monitor public spending (Baiocchi et al., 2017; Nabatchi et al., 2015). Furthermore, research indicates that when stakeholders are meaningfully involved, there is a stronger alignment between fiscal policies and societal needs, leading to more sustainable governance outcomes.

Given the increasing complexity of fiscal management in the digital era, stakeholder engagement has evolved to integrate technology-driven tools such as open data portals, online consultations, and e-budgeting systems. These innovations have further expanded opportunities for transparency and accountability while reducing information asymmetry between governments and citizens (De Renzio et al., 2017). Empirical evidence also suggests that stakeholder engagement

interventions can directly enhance transparency, civic activity, and user satisfaction in public decision contexts (Coleman et al., 2019). Moreover, stakeholder participation frameworks have been critiqued and refined to balance equity, efficiency, and effectiveness in engagement processes (Krupa et al., 2020).

Therefore, this systematic literature review aims to synthesize and critically examine the existing body of knowledge on the role of stakeholder engagement in public finance accountability. It seeks to identify key engagement mechanisms, evaluate their effectiveness, and highlight research gaps that warrant further exploration in the field.

2. Literature Review

Public finance accountability is widely recognized as a key pillar for maintaining public trust and ensuring that state resources are managed with integrity, efficiency, and transparency. It represents not only a legal obligation but also a social contract between governments and citizens. Effective accountability mechanisms help to safeguard against misuse of public funds, promote fiscal discipline, and enhance the legitimacy of government actions (Andrews, 2013).

Stakeholder engagement, understood as the structured inclusion of individuals, communities, and organizations affected by or interested in public policy, has emerged as an essential strategy in strengthening fiscal accountability. Research has shown that meaningful participation improves transparency, enriches decision-making processes, and enhances the responsiveness of institutions to public needs (Fung, 2015). Such engagement often manifests in participatory budgeting,

public hearings, and collaborative platforms that allow citizens to directly influence budgetary priorities and oversee public expenditure (Cabannes, 2015).

Moreover, evidence indicates that participatory mechanisms are more effective when they foster mutual trust and provide stakeholders with access to relevant and timely fiscal information. These participatory frameworks have been linked to greater alignment between fiscal policies and community priorities, thus promoting sustainable governance outcomes (Sørensen & Torfing, 2019).

In the context of the digital era, the scope and scale of stakeholder engagement have expanded through the adoption of e-governance tools, including open data portals, interactive consultation platforms, and electronic budgeting systems. These innovations have the potential to reduce information asymmetries, encourage continuous dialogue between governments and citizens, and strengthen accountability frameworks (Meijer et al., 2012). Nevertheless, challenges remain in ensuring inclusivity, preventing digital divides, and translating participation into tangible policy impacts.

Overall, the literature suggests that stakeholder engagement plays a transformative role in public finance accountability, but its success depends on institutional design, political commitment, and the capacity to integrate technological innovations into participatory processes. This underscores the need for further systematic investigation into which mechanisms work best under different political, social, and economic contexts.

3. Methods

The research employed a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to synthesize and critically evaluate scholarly works on the role of stakeholder engagement in public finance accountability. This method was selected to ensure a comprehensive, transparent, and replicable review process, enabling the identification of patterns, trends, and research gaps. The review was conducted in three main stages.

First, the scope was defined to include empirical and conceptual studies published between 2012 and 2022 in peer-reviewed journals, books, and reputable institutional reports, focusing on participatory governance and fiscal transparency. Second, a systematic search was performed in Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science using key terms such as “stakeholder engagement,” “public finance accountability,” “participatory budgeting,” and “fiscal transparency” combined with Boolean operators. Inclusion criteria required direct relevance to stakeholder engagement in public finance, methodological clarity, and publication in English, while studies without evidence-based findings, lacking clear methodology, or outside the time range were excluded.

Third, data extraction captured information such as authors, publication year, objectives, methodology, geographic focus, and key findings. Thematic analysis was then applied to categorize literature into core themes, including participatory mechanisms, digital engagement tools, and institutional factors influencing accountability. This structured process ensured that the review findings are

grounded in rigorous synthesis, providing a solid basis for future research and policy formulation.

4. Results and Discussion

The systematic review identified 10 relevant studies published between 2012 and 2022 that examine the role of stakeholder engagement in public finance accountability. The findings were categorized into three dominant themes: (1) participatory mechanisms in fiscal governance, (2) technology-driven engagement tools, and (3) institutional and contextual factors shaping accountability outcomes.

First, participatory mechanisms such as participatory budgeting, public hearings, and collaborative policy forums emerged as key approaches for enhancing fiscal transparency and accountability. Several studies (e.g., Cabannes, 2015; Allegretti et al., 2016) reported that participatory budgeting not only increases citizen influence over fiscal priorities but also fosters a culture of shared responsibility in resource allocation. However, these benefits were more pronounced in contexts with strong political will and supportive legal frameworks, suggesting that the mere adoption of participatory tools is insufficient without enabling conditions.

Second, technology has significantly transformed stakeholder engagement in public finance. The proliferation of open data portals, e-budgeting systems, and online consultation platforms has expanded opportunities for public scrutiny of government budgets (Meijer et al., 2012). Digital tools were found to reduce information asymmetry and facilitate real-time interaction between government and

citizens. Nevertheless, challenges remain in addressing the digital divide, ensuring inclusivity, and preventing tokenistic participation that lacks real policy influence.

Third, institutional capacity and political context play a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of stakeholder engagement. Evidence from developing countries (Andrews, 2013) indicates that engagement initiatives often face obstacles such as limited administrative capacity, resistance from entrenched bureaucracies, and insufficient financial resources to sustain participatory programs. Conversely, countries with mature governance systems and established transparency norms tend to achieve stronger accountability outcomes from stakeholder involvement.

From a comparative perspective, the review highlights that while the integration of participatory mechanisms and digital tools has clear potential to strengthen public finance accountability, their success is highly dependent on governance maturity, civic space, and the degree of institutionalization of engagement processes. This supports Fung's (2015) argument that stakeholder participation must be both meaningful and empowered to influence fiscal decision-making.

In conclusion, the synthesis of literature indicates that stakeholder engagement serves as a transformative driver for public finance accountability, but its impact is uneven across contexts. Policymakers should prioritize creating enabling environments, investing in digital infrastructure, and embedding participation into legal and institutional frameworks to ensure sustainable and equitable accountability outcomes. These findings also underscore the need for further research into hybrid

models that combine face to face deliberation with digital participation, particularly in regions with diverse socio economic conditions.

5. Conclusion

This study underscores that stakeholder engagement plays a pivotal role in strengthening public finance accountability by fostering transparency, enhancing decision-making quality, and aligning fiscal policies with societal needs. The systematic literature review reveals that participatory mechanisms such as participatory budgeting, public consultations, and collaborative governance platforms can significantly improve fiscal oversight when supported by strong political will, robust legal frameworks, and adequate institutional capacity. The integration of technology driven tools, including open data portals and e-budgeting systems, has expanded opportunities for citizen participation and reduced information asymmetry between governments and the public. However, persistent challenges such as digital divides, institutional resistance, and tokenistic engagement limit the full potential of these initiatives. Effective stakeholder engagement in public finance requires not only innovative participatory channels but also a conducive governance environment that institutionalizes meaningful citizen input. Future research should explore hybrid participation models that blend digital and face to face approaches, as well as strategies to ensure inclusivity and sustainability across diverse political, social, and economic contexts.

References

- Allegretti, G., & Herzberg, C. (2014). *Participatory budgeting worldwide Updated version*. Dialog.
- Andrews, M. (2013). *The limits of institutional reform in development*. Cambridge University Press.
- Baiocchi, G., & Ganuza, E. (2017). *Participatory budgeting as if emancipation mattered*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Bovaird, T., & Löffler, E. (2019). *Public management and governance*. Routledge.
- Cabannes, Y. (2015). The impact of participatory budgeting on basic services: Municipal practices and evidence from the field. *Environment and Urbanization*, 27(1), 257–284.
- Coleman, E. A., Manyindo, J., Parker, A. R., & Schultz, B. (2019). Stakeholder engagement increases transparency, satisfaction, and civic action. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(49), 24486-24491.
- De Renzio, P., & Wehner, J. (2017). The impacts of fiscal openness. *World Bank Research Observer*, 32(2), 185–210.
- Fung, A. (2015). Putting the public back into governance: The challenges of citizen participation and its future. *Public Administration Review*, 75(4), 513–522.
- Krupa, M. B., McCarthy Cunfer, M., & Clark, S. J. (2020). Who's winning the public process? How to use public documents to assess the equity, efficiency, and effectiveness of stakeholder engagement. *Society & Natural Resources*, 33(5), 612-633.

- Meijer, A., Curtin, D., & Hillebrandt, M. (2012). Open government: Connecting vision and voice. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 78(1), 10–29.
- Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2019). The democratizing impact of governance networks: From pluralization, through democratic anchorage, to interactive political leadership. *Public Administration*, 97(2), 261–280.