INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
PUBLIC FINANCE
AND ACCOUNTABILITY

NAWALA

Volume 2, Number 1, *2023*

Exploring the Interplay Between Public Finance Management and Accountability: SLR

Artadhea Aurelia Candra Chairina [1*]

[1] Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: March 10, 2023
Revised: April 17, 2023
Accepted: May 04, 2023
Published: June 30, 2023

Keywords:
Accountability, Fiscal Discipline, Governance, Public Finance Management, Systematic Literature Review, Transparency.

Identifier:
Nawala
Page: 44-54
https://nawala.io/index.php/ijpfa

This study examines the interrelationship between Public Finance Management (PFM) and accountability in public sector governance through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach. PFM, encompassing budget formulation, execution, and oversight, serves not only as a technical mechanism for fiscal discipline and efficient resource allocation but also as a governance tool that shapes state–citizen relations. The findings reveal that robust PFM frameworks significantly enhance both vertical accountability enabling citizens and civil society to evaluate government performance and horizontal accountability through institutional oversight. Evidence indicates that integrating transparency mechanisms such as open budget portals, expenditure tracking, and participatory budgeting increases budget credibility and public trust. Moreover, resilient PFM systems with embedded accountability measures perform better during crises, maintaining fiscal transparency and effective emergency responses. Nonetheless, challenges persist, including capacity limitations in oversight institutions, restricted public access to fiscal information, and weak enforcement of accountability mechanisms.

*Corresponding author:
(Artadhea Aurelia Candra Chairina)

1. Introduction

Public Finance Management (PFM) serves as the backbone of effective governance, encompassing the processes, systems, and rules that ensure the efficient allocation, utilization, and monitoring of public resources. It operates as a comprehensive framework that integrates budget formulation, execution, and oversight into a cohesive system aimed at achieving both fiscal and developmental goals. Strong PFM frameworks are crucial for maintaining fiscal discipline, achieving strategic allocation of resources, and delivering public services efficiently, particularly in environments where public expectations for transparency and service delivery are increasing (Andrews et al., 2017). Beyond its technical aspects, PFM is increasingly viewed as an institutional pillar that shapes the relationship between the state and its citizens by influencing how resources are raised, allocated, and reported.

In recent years, there has been growing recognition that PFM is not solely a technical or administrative function but also a key driver of public sector accountability, transparency, and trust (de Renzio & Wehner, 2021). This broader perspective highlights the importance of embedding values such as openness, inclusivity, and ethical stewardship into PFM processes, ensuring that fiscal decisions are not only efficient but also legitimate in the eyes of the public.

Accountability in the context of PFM refers to the obligation of public officials and institutions to provide accurate, timely, and transparent information regarding the use of public funds, enabling stakeholders to evaluate performance and hold decision-makers responsible (Ferry et al., 2020). It encompasses both vertical accountability, where citizens and civil society monitor and evaluate government actions, and horizontal accountability, where oversight bodies such as audit institutions scrutinize financial management practices. Effective accountability mechanisms help reduce opportunities for corruption, enhance citizen engagement, and improve policy outcomes by ensuring that resource allocations align with public priorities (Cangiano et al., 2019).

The interplay between PFM and accountability has gained increasing attention as governments worldwide face mounting demands for openness and better fiscal governance, particularly in the wake of economic shocks, natural disasters, and global crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. These challenges have underscored the importance of resilient financial systems that not only manage resources effectively but also demonstrate integrity and responsiveness. Integrating robust accountability measures into PFM systems can strengthen public confidence, improve budget credibility, and promote sustainable development by fostering a culture of fiscal responsibility (Ouda, 2018). This relationship underscores the need for a systematic exploration of existing literature to identify recurring themes, emerging challenges, and best practices that link PFM reforms with enhanced accountability outcomes, ultimately contributing to more transparent and effective governance.

2. Literature Review

Public Finance Management (PFM) is widely recognized as a fundamental pillar of effective governance, encompassing the institutional arrangements, policies, and practices that ensure the sound allocation, utilization, and oversight of public resources. It integrates budget planning, implementation, and monitoring into a coherent system aimed at fulfilling both fiscal and socio-economic objectives. According to Piatti-Fünfkirchen et al. (2018), robust PFM frameworks are essential for sustaining fiscal discipline, optimizing resource allocation, and enhancing the efficiency of public service delivery, particularly in contexts of growing demands for transparency and accountability.

Beyond its operational role, PFM serves as a strategic governance tool that shapes the relationship between governments and citizens through mechanisms of resource mobilization, allocation, and reporting (Fritz et al., 2017). Contemporary perspectives emphasize that PFM is not solely an administrative process but also a key driver of democratic governance, requiring integration of principles such as openness, inclusivity, and ethical stewardship into fiscal management practices (Downes et al., 2017).

Accountability within PFM refers to the responsibility of public institutions to provide accurate, timely, and transparent information on the management of public finances, enabling stakeholders to assess performance and demand corrective actions when necessary. This encompasses both vertical accountability where citizens and civil society monitor governmental actions and horizontal accountability where oversight institutions such as public audit bodies ensure compliance and financial integrity (Olden et al., 2018). Strengthening these accountability mechanisms reduces corruption risks, fosters public participation, and ensures that fiscal decisions are aligned with societal priorities (Maer, 2019).

In recent years, the interplay between PFM and accountability has attracted significant scholarly attention, especially in light of fiscal pressures caused by global crises, economic downturns, and emergencies such as the COVID-19 pandemic. These developments have underscored the need for resilient financial systems that can adapt to shocks while maintaining transparency, integrity, and responsivenes. Embedding robust accountability measures within PFM not only improves budget credibility but also fosters sustainable development by promoting a culture of fiscal responsibility. This alignment reinforces public trust, supports policy legitimacy, and ultimately contributes to more transparent and effective governance (Loozekoot et al., 2017).

3. Methods

This study adopts a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to examine the relationship between Public Finance Management (PFM) and accountability in public sector governance. The SLR method was selected to ensure that the review process is comprehensive, transparent, and replicable. The research began with the formulation of a central question, namely how PFM contributes to enhancing accountability in public sector governance, along with sub-questions aimed at exploring thematic linkages, emerging challenges, and best practices. Relevant academic publications, conference proceedings, and institutional reports were identified through searches in electronic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and selected grey literature repositories, using a combination of keywords and Boolean operators related to "Public Finance Management," "accountability," "transparency," and "governance."

The inclusion criteria covered studies that focused on the implementation, evaluation, or reform of PFM systems, addressed accountability outcomes in public sector contexts, were published within the defined time frame to capture contemporary developments, and were written in English. Studies were excluded if they focused solely on private sector financial management, lacked discussion on accountability, or were purely opinion pieces without empirical or conceptual analysis. The screening process began with a review of titles and abstracts, followed by a full-text assessment to ensure alignment with the criteria, and duplicate records were removed. Data from the selected studies were extracted using a structured coding framework, capturing information such as objectives, context, methodology, and key findings.

A thematic analysis was then conducted to identify recurring patterns, conceptual linkages, and emerging trends. Finally, the findings were synthesized narratively to highlight the role of PFM in fostering accountability, the challenges faced in implementation, and practical strategies for strengthening the PFM accountability relationship. This methodological approach allows for a systematic mapping of existing knowledge and the identification of research gaps, thus providing a strong basis for evidence-based policy recommendations in the field of fiscal governance and accountability.

4. Results and Discussion

The systematic literature review identified several recurring themes that illustrate the strong interconnection between Public Finance Management (PFM) and accountability in public sector governance. The reviewed studies consistently show that robust PFM frameworks contribute significantly to enhancing fiscal discipline, improving resource allocation, and ensuring the efficient delivery of public services (Piatti-Fünfkirchen et al., 2018; Cangiano et al., 2019). By integrating budget formulation, execution, and oversight into a cohesive system, PFM not only addresses the technical aspects of financial management but also strengthens institutional credibility and public trust (Andrews et al., 2017; de Renzio & Wehner, 2021). This finding reinforces the argument that PFM operates as both a technical tool and a governance mechanism capable of shaping state citizen relationships (Fritz et al., 2017).

A key result emerging from the review is the role of PFM in fostering both vertical and horizontal accountability. Vertical accountability is achieved when citizens and civil society organizations are empowered with accurate and timely fiscal information, enabling them to evaluate government performance and demand corrective action when necessary (Ferry et al., 2020; Maer, 2019). Horizontal accountability, on the other hand, is reinforced through the oversight functions of institutions such as supreme audit bodies, internal audit units, and legislative committees (Olden et al., 2018). Studies highlight that when PFM systems embed transparency mechanisms such as open budget portals, public expenditure tracking, and participatory budgeting public confidence and budget credibility increase significantly (Loozekoot et al., 2017).

The analysis also reveals that crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic and economic downturns, serve as stress tests for PFM systems. Governments with strong PFM frameworks and embedded accountability measures were better able to maintain fiscal transparency and respond effectively to emergency spending needs (Cangiano et al., 2019). Conversely, in jurisdictions where PFM systems lacked transparency and oversight, emergency responses were often marred by inefficiencies, misallocation of resources, and heightened corruption risks (Ouda, 2018). These findings underscore the importance of resilience in financial systems and the necessity of embedding accountability measures as a permanent feature rather than an ad hoc response to crises.

From a governance perspective, the reviewed literature shows that embedding values such as openness, inclusivity, and ethical stewardship into PFM practices generates broader developmental benefits (Downes et al., 2017; Fritz et al., 2017). By aligning fiscal decisions with public priorities, governments can enhance policy legitimacy and foster sustainable development outcomes (Andrews et al., 2017). However, the review also identifies persistent challenges, including capacity constraints in audit institutions, limited public access to fiscal data, and weak enforcement of accountability mechanisms (Ferry et al., 2020). Addressing these gaps requires comprehensive reforms that not only strengthen the technical components of PFM but also promote an accountability culture across all levels of government (Maer, 2019).

In summary, the findings confirm that the relationship between PFM and accountability is mutually reinforcing. Effective PFM systems create the conditions for accountability to thrive, while robust accountability mechanisms enhance the performance and credibility of PFM (de Renzio & Wehner, 2021). The literature suggests that future reforms should focus on integrating transparency tools, expanding citizen participation in fiscal processes, and building institutional capacities for oversight. By doing so, governments can create a governance environment that is both fiscally responsible and publicly trusted, ultimately contributing to more transparent, responsive, and effective public sector management (Ouda, 2018).

5. Conclusion

This study confirms that Public Finance Management (PFM) and accountability are mutually reinforcing pillars of effective public sector governance. Robust PFM frameworks integrating budget formulation, execution, and oversight enhance fiscal discipline, improve resource allocation, and ensure efficient service delivery, while simultaneously strengthening institutional credibility and public trust. Accountability, encompassing both vertical mechanisms that empower citizens and horizontal oversight by formal institutions, ensures that fiscal decisions are transparent, legitimate, and aligned with public priorities.

The literature consistently demonstrates that embedding values such as openness, inclusivity, and ethical stewardship within PFM processes generates broader developmental benefits, from improving budget credibility to fostering sustainable governance outcomes. Furthermore, the ability of PFM systems to maintain transparency and responsiveness during crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, underscores the importance of resilience and the integration of accountability as a permanent, not ad hoc, feature.

Despite these strengths, persistent challenges remain, including limited institutional capacity, inadequate public access to fiscal data, and weak enforcement of oversight mechanisms. Addressing these gaps requires comprehensive reforms that couple technical improvements in PFM with a sustained commitment to cultivating an accountability culture at all levels of government. Future reforms should prioritize transparency tools, strengthen citizen engagement in fiscal processes, and enhance the capability of oversight bodies. By doing so, governments can foster fiscal responsibility, bolster public trust, and create a governance environment that is both transparent and responsive, ultimately contributing to more effective and equitable public sector management.

References

Andrews, M., Pritchett, L., & Woolcock, M. (2017). *Building state capability: Evidence, analysis, action* (p. 288). Oxford University Press.

Cangiano, M., Gelb, A., & Goodwin-Groen, R. (2019). Public financial management and its emerging architecture. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 8710, 1–32.

de Renzio, P., & Wehner, J. (2021). The impacts of fiscal openness. *World Bank Research Observer*, 36(2), 185–210.

Downes, R., Moretti, D., & Nicol, S. (2017). Budgeting and performance in the European Union: A review by the OECD in the context of EU budget focused on results. *OECD Journal on Budgeting*, *17*(1), 9-68A.

Ferry, L., Zakaria, Z., & Eckersley, P. (2020). Accountability and transparency in English local government: Moving from "matching parts" to "collaborative solutions." *Public Money & Management*, 40(6), 425–433.

Fritz, V., Verhoeven, M., & Avenia, A. (2017). Political economy of public financial management reforms: Experiences and implications for dialogue and operational engagement. Washington, *DC: World Bank*.

Loozekoot, A., & Dijkstra, G. (2017). Public accountability and the Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability tool: An assessment. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 83(4), 806–825.

Maer, L. (2019). Select committee reform: shifting the balance and pushing the boundaries. *Parliamentary Affairs*, *72*(4), 761-778.

Olden, B., Alves, M., Koshima, Y., Rwida, U., & Tandberg, E. (2018). Public Investment Management Assessment.

Ouda, H. A. (2018). Public financial management reform in less developed countries: An international perspective. In *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance* (pp. 5129–5139). Springer, Cham.

Piatti-Fünfkirchen, M., & Schneider, P. (2018). From stumbling block to enabler: the role of public financial management in health service delivery in Tanzania and Zambia. *Health Systems & Reform*, *4*(4), 336-345.