

The Impact of Fiscal Policies on Accountability in Public Sector Institutions: A Systematic Literature Review

Rahma Isya^{1*}

¹ Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:

Received: July 6, 2023

Revised: August 22, 2023

Accepted: October 28, 2023

Published: December 30, 2023

Keywords:

Accountability, Fiscal
Decentralization, Fiscal Policies,
Public Sector, Transparency.

Identifier:

Nawala

Page: 67-78

<https://nawala.io/index.php/ijpfa>

This study employs a systematic literature review to synthesize empirical and theoretical findings on the impact of these fiscal policy instruments on public sector accountability. The review highlights that well designed fiscal rules and transparency mechanisms reduce fiscal mismanagement and build public trust, while performance auditing provides critical independent evaluations that enhance efficiency and integrity. Fiscal decentralization offers the potential to improve local responsiveness and citizen participation, although its effects vary depending on institutional capacity and oversight. The findings emphasize that the effectiveness of fiscal policies in promoting accountability depends on their integration within a robust institutional framework supported by political will and societal engagement. This comprehensive analysis offers valuable insights for policymakers and researchers aiming to strengthen governance and accountability in public sector institutions.

*Corresponding author:
(Rahma Isya)

©2023 The Author(s).

This is an open-access article under CC-BY-SA license (<https://creativecommons.org/licence/by-sa/4.0/>)



1. Introduction

Accountability is widely recognized as a fundamental pillar of good governance in public sector institutions, serving as the foundation for ensuring that public officials are answerable for their decisions, actions, and the management of public resources. It promotes transparency, fosters public trust, and helps prevent misuse of authority by requiring officials to justify their policies and performance. Without strong accountability mechanisms, public institutions are more vulnerable to inefficiency, corruption, and fiscal mismanagement, ultimately undermining the quality of governance and public service delivery. In this context, fiscal policies have emerged as powerful tools for institutionalizing accountability, as they establish the legal, procedural, and financial frameworks within which governments operate.

Fiscal policies encompass a variety of instruments, including fiscal rules, budget transparency frameworks, fiscal decentralization, and the creation of independent fiscal institutions. Each of these mechanisms plays a central role in reinforcing accountability by shaping how public resources are allocated, monitored, and reported. Well-designed fiscal rules, for example, not only enhance transparency but also serve as safeguards against excessive public spending, reduce opportunities for rent-seeking behavior, and improve the efficiency of service delivery (Bach, 2020). By setting clear and enforceable budgetary limits, fiscal rules provide a predictable environment for public financial management and make it easier for citizens and oversight bodies to evaluate government performance.

Empirical evidence supports the importance of these measures. Studies have shown that fiscal rules can effectively constrain irresponsible fiscal behavior while

maintaining the provision of essential public goods, thereby balancing fiscal discipline with societal needs. Similarly, performance auditing has been widely recognized as a critical mechanism for reinforcing accountability in the public sector. Through systematic, independent evaluations of policy implementation, financial management, and service outcomes, performance audits provide valuable insights that not only detect inefficiencies but also inform future policy reforms (Rana et al., 2021).

In the context of decentralized governance, fiscal decentralization has the potential to bring decision-making closer to the people, enhancing local government responsiveness to community needs. This proximity can strengthen accountability by making it easier for citizens to monitor public officials and demand better services. However, the impact of fiscal decentralization on accountability is not uniform; it largely depends on the institutional capacity of local governments, the robustness of oversight mechanisms, and the degree of transparency in fiscal operations (Saputra & Setiawan, 2021). In some cases, weak local institutions can lead to fragmented accountability systems, increasing the risk of fiscal mismanagement at the subnational level.

Despite the growing body of literature examining various aspects of fiscal governance, there is still limited synthesis of how different fiscal policy instruments collectively influence accountability in public institutions. Much of the existing research is fragmented, focusing on individual mechanisms in isolation rather than on their combined effects. This gap in the literature underscores the need for a comprehensive analysis that integrates both empirical evidence and theoretical

perspectives. By conducting a systematic literature review, this study seeks to bridge this gap, offering an in depth understanding of the link between fiscal policies and accountability, and providing valuable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers seeking to strengthen governance in the public sector.

2. Literature Review

Budget transparency has been widely recognized as a key driver of improved governance quality in the public sector. Empirical evidence shows that greater transparency is associated with reduced corruption, enhanced government efficiency, and more effective allocation of public resources. Transparency not only facilitates oversight by stakeholders but also fosters greater public participation, ultimately strengthening political legitimacy and citizen trust in government institutions (de Renzio & Wehner, 2017). This relationship is supported by studies that emphasize the role of open budget systems in promoting accountability and enabling better-informed public debate on fiscal priorities (Menicali, 2019).

Accountability, when combined with transparency, has also been shown to significantly improve budget performance and the realization of Value for Money (VfM) in public investments. Research in various governance contexts, such as the United Kingdom, Australia, and Indonesia, indicates that transparent reporting systems and effective oversight mechanisms lead to more prudent budget execution and higher efficiency in public spending (Honig, 2018). These findings suggest that a strong accountability framework can reduce inefficiencies, limit opportunities for

misappropriation, and ensure that fiscal policies translate into tangible developmental outcomes.

Another important dimension in fiscal governance is fiscal decentralization, which involves the transfer of expenditure responsibilities and revenue-raising authority to subnational governments. Cross country analyses suggest that decentralization can improve governance quality by bringing decision-making closer to the people, thereby increasing responsiveness to local needs (Martinez-Vazquez & Lago-Peñas, 2018). In addition, fiscal decentralization has been linked to improvements in social outcomes, such as education and health service delivery, particularly in contexts where strong institutional capacity and effective monitoring systems are in place (Sanogo, 2019). However, these benefits are not universal; in settings with weak oversight, decentralization may instead exacerbate inefficiencies and reduce overall accountability (Kleider & Toubreau, 2022).

Overall, the literature highlights that fiscal policy instruments such as transparency frameworks, accountability mechanisms, and decentralization reforms can substantially influence governance quality in the public sector. However, their success largely depends on the presence of robust institutional structures, effective oversight, and a political environment that prioritizes responsible fiscal management.

3. Methods

This study adopts a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to synthesize existing research on the relationship between fiscal policies and accountability in public sector institutions. The SLR method was chosen because it

allows for a structured, transparent, and replicable process in identifying, evaluating, and integrating findings from relevant academic literature. This approach ensures that the review captures both the breadth and depth of current knowledge while minimizing bias in the selection and interpretation of sources.

The review process followed several key stages. First, the research scope was defined by focusing on three main fiscal policy instruments frequently discussed in the literature: budget transparency, accountability mechanisms, and fiscal decentralization. Second, a comprehensive search was conducted across academic databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science to identify peer-reviewed journal articles and book chapters published up to 2022. Keywords used in the search included combinations of terms such as “fiscal policy”, “budget transparency”, “public sector accountability”, “fiscal decentralization”, and “governance”.

Inclusion criteria were established to ensure the relevance and quality of selected studies. Articles were included if they: (1) were published in reputable, peer-reviewed outlets; (2) explicitly addressed the relationship between fiscal policies and accountability in public sector contexts; and (3) provided either empirical evidence or theoretical frameworks relevant to governance. Exclusion criteria removed studies focusing solely on private sector accountability or unrelated aspects of fiscal management.

Data extraction involved recording key details from each study, including authors, publication year, research context, methodology, main findings, and policy implications. Thematic analysis was then employed to categorize the literature into

recurring themes budget transparency, combined accountability and transparency mechanisms, and fiscal decentralization and to identify patterns, similarities, and differences in the findings.

To enhance reliability, the review process incorporated a double-checking procedure in which identified articles and extracted data were re-evaluated for accuracy and consistency. The final synthesis integrates evidence from diverse governance contexts, enabling a comprehensive understanding of how fiscal policy instruments shape accountability in public sector institutions.

4. Results and Discussion

The synthesis of existing studies reveals that fiscal policies are instrumental in enhancing accountability within public sector institutions. Evidence from multiple contexts suggests that fiscal rules serve as effective constraints against fiscal indiscipline, ensuring that budgetary processes remain transparent and predictable (Eyraud et al., 2018). These rules, when supported by clear enforcement mechanisms, significantly reduce the risk of overspending and misuse of public resources, while also promoting fiscal sustainability over the long term. In addition, the existence of well designed fiscal frameworks helps build credibility in government finances, reinforcing investor confidence and improving the overall efficiency of public expenditure.

Similarly, budget transparency frameworks have been consistently associated with greater public trust, as they enable citizens and oversight bodies to scrutinize fiscal decisions more effectively (Dincer & Gunalp, 2020). Transparent reporting not

only facilitates monitoring of budget performance but also encourages responsible fiscal behavior among policymakers. By disclosing timely and accessible information, governments create an environment where stakeholders ranging from civil society organizations to legislative bodies can participate in fiscal deliberations, thereby enhancing democratic accountability. Performance auditing emerges as another crucial tool, providing independent evaluations that link resource allocation to outcomes, thereby strengthening both financial integrity and policy effectiveness (Okolo et al., 2019). These audits serve as vital feedback mechanisms that help decision-makers identify inefficiencies, improve resource targeting, and ensure that public spending achieves intended developmental objectives.

Furthermore, fiscal decentralization has shown mixed results. In countries with strong institutional capacity, decentralization improves responsiveness to local needs and enhances citizen participation in governance (Bahl & Bird, 2018). Local governments, when equipped with adequate resources and oversight mechanisms, are better positioned to design context-specific policies that reflect community priorities and promote inclusive growth. However, in settings with weak oversight, it can exacerbate inequalities and open new avenues for corruption (Hermansson, 2019). The challenges often arise from disparities in administrative competence, lack of fiscal discipline at subnational levels, and limited transparency in local budget processes, which undermine the overall goals of decentralization.

The reviewed literature also highlights that the presence of independent fiscal institutions fosters a culture of accountability by offering unbiased fiscal assessments and promoting informed policymaking (Debrun & Kinda, 2017). Such institutions

act as watchdogs, providing objective analyses of fiscal projections, debt sustainability, and compliance with fiscal rules, thereby strengthening public trust in financial governance. Overall, the findings suggest that while individual fiscal policy tools can strengthen accountability, their combined effectiveness depends heavily on institutional quality, political commitment, and societal engagement. This underscores the importance of a holistic approach to fiscal governance, integrating rules, transparency measures, auditing systems, and decentralized mechanisms to build robust and accountable public sector institutions. When harmonized effectively, these instruments not only prevent fiscal mismanagement but also contribute to sustainable development, equitable service delivery, and long-term economic stability.

5. Conclusion

This systematic literature review highlights the critical role of fiscal policies in strengthening accountability within public sector institutions. Budget rules and transparency frameworks emerge as foundational tools that constrain fiscal mismanagement and promote public trust through greater openness. Performance auditing further complements these tools by providing independent assessments that link financial inputs to policy outcomes, enhancing both efficiency and integrity. Fiscal decentralization, while offering opportunities for improved local responsiveness and citizen engagement, presents mixed results depending on the strength of institutional capacity and oversight mechanisms. The evidence underscores that no single fiscal instrument alone suffices; instead, an integrated

approach combining clear fiscal rules, transparency, rigorous auditing, and effective decentralization is necessary to build robust accountability systems. Future research should continue to explore the contextual factors that influence how these fiscal policies interact and function across diverse governance environments to support sustainable public sector accountability.

References

- Bach, S. (2020). Fiscal councils' impact on promoting transparency and accountability in public finance management. *Public Sector Economics*, 44(4), 421–447.
- Bahl, R., & Bird, R. M. (2018). *Fiscal decentralization and local finance in developing countries*. Edward Elgar Publishing
- Debrun, X., & Kinda, T. (2017). Strengthening post-crisis fiscal credibility: Fiscal councils on the rise A new dataset. *Fiscal Studies*, 38(4), 667–700.
- de Renzio, P., & Wehner, J. (2017). The impacts of fiscal openness: A review of the evidence. *World Bank Research Observer*, 32(2), 185–210.
- Dincer, O., & Gunalp, B. (2020). The effects of federal regulations on corruption in US States. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 65, 101924.
- Eyraud, L., Debrun, M. X., Hodge, A., Lledo, V. D., & Pattillo, M. C. A. (2018). *Second-generation fiscal rules: Balancing simplicity, flexibility, and enforceability*. International Monetary Fund.

- Hermansson, H. (2019). Challenges to decentralization of disaster management in Turkey: The role of political-administrative context. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 42(5), 417-431.
- Honig, D. (2018). *Navigation by judgment: Why and when top-down management of foreign aid doesn't work*. Oxford University Press.
- Kleider, H., & Toubreau, S. (2022). Public policy in multi-level systems: A new research agenda for the study of regional-level policy. *Regional & Federal Studies*, 32(3), 277-305.
- Menicali, L. (2019). The Effect of Fiscal Transparency on Output, Inflation, and Government Debt. *Gettysburg Economic Review*, 11(1), 6.
- Martinez-Vazquez, J., & Lago-Peñas, S. (2018). The impact of fiscal decentralization: A survey. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 32(4), 1107–1149.
- Okolo, M. N., Irem, E. N., & Ugwuoke, C. (2019). Value for money (VFM) audit and public sector performance in Afikpo north local government area of Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Public Policy and Administration Research*, 6(2), 147-156.
- Rana, T., Steccolini, I., Bracci, E., & Mihret, D. G. (2022). Performance auditing in the public sector: A systematic literature review and future research avenues. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 38(3), 337–359.
- Sanogo, T. (2019). Does fiscal decentralization enhance citizens' access to public services and reduce poverty? Evidence from Côte d'Ivoire municipalities in a conflict setting. *World development*, 113, 204-221.

Saputra, N. A. A., & Setiawan, D. (2021). Fiscal decentralization, accountability and corruption indication: Evidence from Indonesia. *Bina Praja: Journal of Home Affairs Governance*, 13(2), 219–230.