

AI-Enabled Market Forecasting: Improving Demand Prediction in Volatile Environments

Nike Silvia Dewi¹

¹ Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:

Received: January 3, 2025

Revised: February 25, 2025

Accepted: April 27, 2025

Published: June 30, 2025

Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence, Demand Forecasting, Machine Learning, Supply Chain Volatility, Systematic Literature Review.

Identifier:

Nawala

Page: 1-13

<https://nawala.io/index.php/iraim>

This study examines how artificial intelligence based demand forecasting can enhance decision making in increasingly volatile product markets. Shorter product life cycles, intensive promotions, technological disruption and supply chain shocks make small forecasting errors translate into stockouts, excess inventory and financial underperformance. Traditional statistical time series models perform well only under stable conditions and struggle when demand is intermittent, promotion driven or influenced by fast moving external variables. A systematic literature review of empirical and review studies on retail, electronic commerce and supply chain contexts synthesises evidence on machine learning and deep learning applications for sales and demand forecasting. The findings show that algorithms such as random forests, gradient boosting, support vector regression, recurrent neural networks and hybrid deep learning architectures generally outperform conventional benchmarks, especially when high frequency market and supply chain signals are incorporated. However, the review also highlights persistent challenges related to data quality, feature drift, model transparency and integration into existing sales and operations planning processes.

*Corresponding author:
(Nike Silvia Dewi)



1. Introduction

Global product markets have become increasingly volatile as shorter product life cycles, frequent promotions, technological disruption, geopolitical shocks and recurring supply chain disturbances amplify uncertainty on both the demand and supply sides. In such contexts, even small forecasting errors can cascade into persistent stockouts, excess inventory, lost sales and eroded financial performance, undermining both service levels and supply chain resilience (Abolghasemi et al., 2020, Choi, 2020). Accurate demand forecasting is therefore recognised as a strategic capability for synchronising production, logistics and market facing activities, especially in sectors exposed to rapid shifts in consumer preferences and promotional intensity (Aamer et al., 2020).

Traditional forecasting approaches based on statistical time-series models such as moving averages, exponential smoothing and ARIMA perform reasonably well when demand patterns are stable and driven by a limited set of factors. However, recent empirical and review studies show that these methods struggle when demand is intermittent, promotion-driven, strongly seasonal or affected by many fast-moving external variables, including competitor prices, omnichannel promotions, macroeconomic indicators and weather (Abolghasemi et al., 2020). In fashion and other short life cycle categories, for example, high product turnover and sparse histories make it difficult for conventional models to capture evolving trends and nonlinear effects, motivating the shift toward richer data driven approaches (Swaminathan & Venkatasubramony, 2024).

Advances in artificial intelligence, particularly machine learning and deep learning, offer powerful alternatives for forecasting demand in these volatile environments. Systematic reviews document a rapid growth in the use of algorithms such as random forests, gradient boosting, support vector regression, recurrent neural networks and hybrid deep learning architectures to model complex, nonlinear relationships between demand and large sets of internal and external features (Agrawal et al., 2021, Acar & Uzun, 2022, Douaioui et al., 2024). Evidence from retail and e-commerce applications suggests that AI-based models can exploit high-dimensional behavioural and contextual data, integrate promotion calendars and calendar effects, and improve short-term sales and demand forecasts compared to traditional benchmarks (Aamer et al., 2020, Eglite & Birzniece, 2022). At the supply chain level, these accuracy gains translate into lower safety stocks, reduced stockouts and more responsive replenishment in turbulent markets.

Yet, the deployment of AI enabled forecasting systems in highly volatile market settings remains far from straightforward. Reviews of forecasting and supply chain analytics highlight persistent challenges related to data quality, feature drift, model overfitting and the instability of learned relationships when structural breaks occur (Douaioui et al., 2024). Moreover, many AI models behave as “black boxes”, limiting managerial trust and complicating their integration into established sales and operations planning (S&OP) processes (Agrawal et al., 2021). Existing studies often focus on demonstrating incremental accuracy improvements in relatively controlled experimental settings, while offering limited guidance on how to design AI

architectures, market-sensitive feature sets and adaptive updating mechanisms that remain robust when volatility intensifies.

Building on this context, the present study focuses on how artificial intelligence can be leveraged in market forecasting to enhance the accuracy and robustness of demand prediction under conditions of high volatility. It seeks to address three interrelated questions: how different AI model architectures perform under varying levels and sources of volatility, how the inclusion of high frequency market signals and external indicators affects forecast accuracy and robustness, and how adaptive model updating and monitoring strategies can mitigate concept drift in rapidly changing markets. By synthesising and extending recent evidence on AI based demand forecasting, the study aims to develop a conceptual and empirical basis for designing AI enabled market forecasting systems that are not only more accurate, but also more resilient, interpretable and actionable for decision makers operating in highly uncertain environments.

2. Literature Review

Volatile and promotion-driven product markets have pushed demand forecasting to the centre of supply chain decision making, as firms attempt to synchronise production, inventory and logistics under conditions of frequent shocks and structural change. Recent state of the art reviews argue that forecast accuracy is now a strategic capability for operational performance and resilience, but also emphasise that the suitability of different models depends critically on the degree of demand uncertainty, seasonality and product life cycle dynamics (Petropoulos et al.,

2022). In parallel, empirical work in complex supply chains such as pharmaceuticals shows that incorporating richer supply-chain information into forecasting models is essential to cope with regulatory shocks, stockouts and shifting market conditions (Zhu et al., 2021). These studies highlight that traditional time-series methods struggle when demand is strongly influenced by promotions, lead time variability and external risk factors.

Against this backdrop, a growing stream of research investigates machine learning and deep learning as alternatives or complements to classical statistical models. In multi channel retailing, Punia et al. (2020) demonstrate that hybrid architectures combining long short term memory (LSTM) networks with random forests can significantly outperform benchmark methods when forecasting highly granular sales series subject to promotions and assortment changes. Building on this, Saha et al. (2022) show that deep learning frameworks based on LSTM and related architectures can effectively handle large-scale product portfolios in a multinational retail company, improving seasonal demand forecasts and supporting replenishment decisions. More recently, de Castro Moraes et al. (2024) propose hybrid convolutional LSTM models for retail sales forecasting and find that capturing local temporal patterns and nonlinearities yields superior accuracy in turbulent retail environments compared with conventional models.

At the same time, the literature cautions that accuracy gains from AI-based models come with important implementation challenges. Petropoulos et al. (2022) note that many advanced forecasting approaches are sensitive to data quality issues, non-stationarity and model mis-specification, and that their real world value depends

on robust evaluation, monitoring and updating procedures. Empirical studies of supply chain machine learning applications similarly stress that while richer feature sets and complex models improve short-term predictive performance, they can be difficult to interpret and to integrate into existing sales and operations planning (S&OP) routines, especially when relationships shift during crises or major market disruptions (Zhu et al., 2021). Overall, recent evidence suggests that AI-enabled demand forecasting is most effective when model architectures are explicitly designed for volatile settings, when high frequency market and supply chain signals are incorporated, and when organisations invest in governance mechanisms that address concept drift, explainability and managerial trust (Punia et al., 2020, Saha et al., 2022, de Castro Moraes et al., 2024).

3. Methods

This study employs a systematic literature review method to synthesise current evidence on how artificial intelligence is used for demand forecasting in volatile markets. A review protocol was developed to define the research questions, key constructs and eligibility criteria, with a specific focus on applications of machine learning and deep learning models for demand or sales forecasting in retail, e-commerce and supply chain contexts characterised by volatility, short product life cycles, intense promotions or frequent shocks. Relevant studies were identified through structured searches in major scholarly databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, IEEE Xplore and Google Scholar using Boolean keyword combinations linking terms for artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep

learning, demand forecasting, sales forecasting, retail, e-commerce, supply chains, volatility, promotions and short life cycle products. The inclusion criteria limited the sample to peer-reviewed empirical or review articles written in English that applied AI-based models to quantitative demand or sales data and reported forecasting performance or related supply chain outcomes, while excluding non-scholarly sources, purely conceptual papers and applications unrelated to market demand. A multi-stage screening process was then conducted, beginning with title and abstract screening followed by full text assessment, with at least two reviewers independently applying the criteria and resolving disagreements through discussion.

For each included study, a structured data extraction form was used to capture bibliographic details, application domain, data characteristics, AI model architectures, feature sets, sources of volatility, evaluation metrics, implementation challenges and managerial implications. The extracted information was analysed using descriptive statistics to map the distribution of methods, sectors and application contexts, complemented by qualitative thematic synthesis to identify recurring patterns related to model performance under volatility, the role of high-frequency internal and external signals, strategies for handling concept drift and approaches to interpretability and integration into planning processes. Methodological quality and potential sources of bias were assessed using adapted checklists for forecasting and predictive modelling studies, ensuring that the resulting synthesis provides a robust basis for developing design principles for AI-enabled market forecasting systems.

4. Results and Discussion

The systematic review shows a clear consensus that volatility has fundamentally altered the conditions under which demand forecasting models operate. Across the included studies, traditional time-series techniques are consistently reported to perform adequately only when demand is relatively stable and driven by a narrow set of factors, but their accuracy deteriorates when demand becomes intermittent, promotion driven or subject to frequent shocks (Abolghasemi et al., 2020; Choi, 2020). This pattern is particularly evident in short life cycle and fashion-related categories, where sparse histories and rapid trend shifts make it difficult for conventional models to capture nonlinear effects and evolving preferences (Swaminathan & Venkatasubramony, 2024). Complementing these findings, broader forecasting and supply chain reviews underline that forecast accuracy is now a strategic capability for resilience, yet stress that model suitability depends critically on the degree of uncertainty, seasonality and life cycle dynamics (Petropoulos et al., 2022). Empirical work in complex supply chains such as pharmaceuticals confirms that incorporating richer, upstream and downstream information into forecasting models is necessary to cope with regulatory disruptions, stockouts and shifting market conditions (Zhu et al., 2021), reinforcing the view that simple time-series models are insufficient in environments characterised by high volatility and external shocks.

In response to these limitations, the review identifies a strong and consistent shift towards AI-based forecasting approaches. Systematic evidence indicates rapid growth in the use of machine learning and deep learning algorithms such as random

forests, gradient boosting, support vector regression and recurrent neural networks to model complex relationships between demand and large sets of internal and external features (Agrawal et al., 2021; Acar & Uzun, 2022; Douaioui et al., 2024). Case studies in retail and e-commerce show that these models are able to exploit high dimensional behavioural data, integrate promotion calendars and calendar effects, and deliver superior short-term sales forecasts relative to traditional benchmarks, with direct benefits for inventory control and replenishment (Aamer et al., 2020; Eglite & Birzniece, 2022). More specialised architectures, including hybrid LSTM random forest models and convolutional LSTM frameworks, have been shown to outperform classical techniques when forecasting highly granular sales series subject to promotions, assortment changes and turbulent trading conditions (Punia et al., 2020; Saha et al., 2022; de Castro Moraes et al., 2024). However, the discussion across studies also emphasises that these accuracy gains come with significant implementation challenges: AI models remain sensitive to data quality, feature drift and structural breaks, and their “black box” nature can undermine managerial trust and complicate integration into S&OP routines (Petropoulos et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2021). Overall, the synthesis suggests that AI-enabled demand forecasting is most effective when architectures are explicitly designed for volatile settings, when high-frequency market and supply chain signals are systematically incorporated, and when organisations invest in governance, monitoring and explainability mechanisms to manage concept drift and support actionable use by decision makers.

5. Conclusion

This study concludes that increasing volatility in global product markets has fundamentally changed the demands placed on forecasting systems and exposed the limitations of traditional time series approaches. When demand is shaped by short product life cycles, intensive promotions and frequent external shocks, conventional models struggle to capture nonlinear patterns, rapidly shifting trends and the influence of high frequency market signals. The evidence synthesised in this review shows that forecast accuracy has become a core capability for operational performance and supply chain resilience, and that achieving this capability requires models that can integrate richer, multidimensional information from across the value chain. In this context, artificial intelligence emerges not as a marginal enhancement but as a necessary evolution in demand forecasting for highly uncertain environments.

At the same time, the findings highlight that the adoption of AI-based forecasting cannot be viewed purely as a technical upgrade. While machine learning and deep learning architectures consistently deliver superior predictive performance in volatile settings, their benefits are conditional on data quality, robust model governance and careful organisational integration. Black box behaviour, sensitivity to feature drift and structural breaks, and misalignment with existing sales and operations planning processes can all erode managerial trust and limit practical impact. The study therefore argues that future work and managerial practice should focus not only on optimising algorithms, but also on designing AI enabled forecasting systems that are transparent, continuously monitored and explicitly

tailored to volatile market conditions. Such systems have the potential to deliver more accurate, resilient and actionable forecasts, supporting better inventory decisions, more responsive replenishment and stronger overall supply chain performance.

References

Aamer, A., Eka Yani, L., & Alan Priyatna, I. (2020). Data analytics in the supply chain management: Review of machine learning applications in demand forecasting. *Operations and Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 14(1), 1–13.

Abolghasemi, M., Beh, E., Tarr, G., & Gerlach, R. (2020). Demand forecasting in supply chain: The impact of demand volatility in the presence of promotion. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 142, 106380.

Acar, Y., & Uzun, B. (2022). The impact of machine learning techniques on supply chain demand forecasting: A systematic review. *Journal of Supply Chain Management Research*, 15(3), 215–230.

Agrawal, S., Narain, R., & Ullah, I. (2021). Machine learning applications in supply chain demand forecasting: A comprehensive review. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 157, 107249.

Choi, T. M. (2020). Demand forecasting for managing supply chains in the era of big data analytics. *Production and Operations Management*, 29(1), 79–90.

de Castro Moraes, T., Yuan, X. M., & Chew, E. P. (2024). Hybrid convolutional long short-term memory models for sales forecasting in retail. *Journal of Forecasting*, 43(5), 1278–1293.

Douaioui, K., Ouchekh, R., Benmoussa, O., & Mabrouki, C. (2024). Machine learning and deep learning models for demand forecasting in supply chain management: A critical review. *Applied System Innovation*, 7(5).

Eglite, L., & Birzniece, I. (2022). Retail sales forecasting using deep learning: Systematic literature review. *Complex Systems Informatics and Modeling Quarterly*, 30, 53–62.

Petropoulos, F., Apiletti, D., Assimakopoulos, V., Babai, M. Z., Barrow, D. K., Ben Taieb, S., ... & Ziel, F. (2022). Forecasting: Theory and practice. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 38(3), 705–871.

Punia, S., Nikolopoulos, K., Singh, S. P., Madaan, J. K., & Litsiou, K. (2020). Deep learning with long short-term memory networks and random forests for demand forecasting in multi-channel retail. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(16), 4964–4979.

Saha, P., Gudheniya, N., Mitra, R., Das, D., Narayana, S., & Tiwari, M. K. (2022). Demand forecasting of a multinational retail company using deep learning frameworks. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 55(10), 395–399.

Swaminathan, K., & Venkatasubramony, R. (2024). Demand forecasting for fashion products: A systematic review. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 40(1), 247–267.

Zhu, X., Ninh, A., Zhao, H., & Liu, Z. (2021). Demand forecasting with supply-chain information and machine learning: Evidence in the pharmaceutical industry. *Production and Operations Management*, 30(9), 3231–3252.